G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

~~191~~ 1899.
(Police)

File No. 709 99

Serial Nos. 1—46

Later references.
Part 3-15 (1899)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1--46.

M—~~Part~~ B for November 191

SUBJECT.

Payment of fares of Police Escorts proceed
to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure

Sl 1. From the First Asst. Resident
No. 547/388-97 Dj 31—1—00.
Forwards for favour of Durbar's opinion, copy of a
from the Secy. Judl. Dept. Bombay Govt. on the subj
the payment of fares of Police Escorts proceed
other Provinces with prisoners or treasure.

Sl 2. From Mr. A. Rangaswamiengar.
Dr O. Dj 3—2—00.
States that they cannot safely undertake any retu
anywhere else but at Bangalore at the handing
and receiving prisoners to British Police.

GPB—3,500—21-7-14

No. 9136/J. 830 D/9-2-00.
States that the Dunbar are willing to accept the principle underlying the rules in question.

No. 9137/J. 831. D/9-2-00 To the I. G. of Police.
Forwards copy of the above for information.
From the Dist. Supt. of Police, C & M. Station. B. L. C.

No. 197 D/ 16-1-01.
Forwards copy of a letter received from the Dist Supt. of Police, C & M. Station, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commr. Kolar Dist. stating that the Gold Fields Magistrate should have made his own arrangements through the K.G.F. Police for the handing over of prisoner to the nearest Police Station within the British Territory and not the Ry. Police within the Mysore Territory &c.

No. 9244/J. 1030 D/19-1-01. To the D.C. Kolar.
wards the above.
F. A. R.

No. 548 D/ 5-2-01.
ends a letter in original No. 805 D/30-1-01.
from the Dist. Supt. of Police, C & M. Station, Bangalore,
to the D. C. Kolar, requesting early reply to his
letter No. 753 of 12th inst. &c.

No. 10130/J. 1125 D/ 9-2-01. To the D. C. Kolar.
Forwards the above for compliance.
To First. Asst. Resident.

No. 11673/Pol. 441 D/ 5-3-01.
Forwards for favour of transmission to the
Dist. Supt. of Police, C & M. Station, Bangalore,
letter No. 3240/541 D/ 15th inst. from the D. C. Kolar
to the address of that officer, stating that the
procedure adopted by the special Magistrate, K.G.F.
in handing over the accused in question to the
Ry. Police, was in accordance with the instructions
laid down in letter No. 3386/219-1900 D/ 14-7-00 to
the Dewan of Mysore.

No. 11694/M. 3105 D/ 5-3-01. To the D. C. Kolar.
Forwards copy of the above

Sl. 7. From the D.C. Kolar.

No. 3476/2728 Dt 8-3-01.

Invites attention to No. 3740/541 Dt 14th inst. re the procedu adopted by the Spl. Mayte. K. G. F. in handing over a prisan to the Ry. Police.

Sl 8. To the First Asst. Resident.

No. 12458/J 1293 Dt 19-3-01

Fowards the above for favour of transmission to the Dis Supt. of Police, C & M Station, Bangalore.

Sl 9. From the D. M. Shimoga.

No. 895/82 Dt 17-4-01.

Requests that the amount incurred for feeding and escorting the accused Nagappa Gowda be recovered from the Dist. Magistrate of North Kanara and requests ——— instructions on the subject.

Sl 10. To the First. Asst. Resident.

No. 15928/J. 1588 Dt 30-5-01.

States that the Durbar consider it only fair that the Bomb Govt. should on its part bear the cost of feeding and escor incurred in respect of prisoners surrendered by this State to Magistrates in Bombay Districts.

Sl 11. From F. A. R.

No. 2719. Dt 31-5-01.

Fowards a copy of the Resolution of the Bombay Govt. regarding the payment of faires of Police Escorts proceedi to other provinces with prisoners or treasure.

Sl 12. From F. A. R,

No. 2817 Dt 14-6-01.

Asks certain explanation in re the charges of Rs. 7-1-0 sought by the Durbar to be recovered from Bombay ——— Authorities in connection with the conveyance and maintenance of accused persons surrendered to the Mysore State in 1898?

Sl 13. To the Dist. Magistrate, Shimoga.

No. 16760/J. 1665 Dt 17-6-01.

Forwards copy of the above for favour of a very early report and submission of information called for therein.

Sl.14. To the D.G. of Police (2) To all Dist. Magtes. (3) To the Comptroller.

No. 17020-9/J.1689 Dt 21-6-01.

Communicates the rules issued by the Bombay Govt. re the payment of fares of Police Escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure.

Sl15. From the D. M. Shimoga.

No. 9 Dt 2-7-01.

Submits report on the subject of the conveyance and maintenance charges of accused persons surrendered to the Mysore State by the Bombay Authorities & Vice Versa.

Sl16. To the First Asst. Resident.

No. 1179/J.118 Dt 23-7-01.

Reports re the claim of the Dewan for Rs. 7-1-0 on account of the conveyance and maintenance charges of Ningappa Gowda handed over to the custody of the Sirsi Police on 10-12-98.

Sl17. From the D. C. Shimoga.

No. 141/11 Dt 10-8-01.

Forwards a bill for Rs. 4-13-6. being the amount of expenses incurred in feeding one Krishna who was arrested by the local Police for the offence of theft committed by him in Sirsi Taluk and requests that the amount be recovered from the Dist. Magte of North Kanara.

Sl18. From F. A. R.

No. 4379 Dt 4-9-01.

Enquires if there is any objection to the Resident addressing the Bombay Govt. re the introduction of a reciprocal arrangement under which each administration itself meets the charges incurred in the maintenance and conveyance of persons delivered by the other Govt. under a warrant.

Sl19. To the First Asst. Resident.

No. 5148/J.363 Dt 21-9-01.

States that the Durbar do not desire to press the Bombay Govt for the payment of the charges in question.

Sl 20. To the Dist. Magistrate, Shimoga.

No. 5149/J.364 Dt 21-9-01.

Forwards copy of Serial No. 18 for information with reference to his No. 9/1 Dt 2-7-01.

Sl 21. To the Deputy Commrs of Distts. (&) except Shimoga.

No. 5150-56/J.365 Dt 21-9-01.

Calls for a list of cases for 3 years in which demands have been made by Bombay Govt. for payment of feeding & cost charges of prisoners surrendered by them to the State.

Sl 22. From the D. C. Mysore.

No. 282 Dt 25-9-01.

States that no applications for the payment of charges incurred in feeding and escorting prisoners surrendered to the Mysore State for trial in this Dist were made by the officers of the Bombay Govt. during the past 3 years.

Sl 23. From the D. M. Kadur.

No. 236 Dt 27-9-01.

States that no prisoners were surrendered to this Dist by the Officers of the Bombay Govt during the last 3 years from 1898-99 to 1900-01.

Sl 24. From the D. M. Hassan.

No. 180 Dt 28-9-01.

States that for the last 3 years there were no cases in which demands were made by the officers of the Bomb Govt. for the payment of fines.

Sl 25. From the D. M. Chitaldrug.

No. 293 Dt 5-10-01.

States that there were no instances during the last 3 years in which the officers of the Bombay Govt. demanded payment of conveyance and other charges on account of prisoners surrendered by them to the State.

Sl 26. From the D. M. Tumkur

No. 348 Dt 5-10-01.

States that there was no case of a prisoner surrendered by the Bombay Govt. to the Dist. during the last 3 years.

Sl 27. From the D. M. Kolar.

No. 575 Dt 7-10-01.

Repots that there were no cases of demands, for the last 3 years, in which demands were made by the officers of the Bombay Govt. for the payment of charges of prisoners surrendered to this State.

Sl 28. From the D. M. Bangalore.

No. D. 454 Dt 11-10-01.

States that there were instances of cases in which demands were made by the officers of the Bombay Govt. for the payment of the conveyance and maintenance charges of prisoners surrendered by them to this State during the last 3 years.

Sl 29. To the First Asst. Resident.

No. 7405/J. 623 Dt 8-11-01.

States that the Dist. Magtes of other Dists. (than Shimoga) report that there were no cases in their Dists. during the past 3 years in which the officers of the Bombay Govt. demanded payment of conveyance and other charges on account of prisoners surrendered by them to the State for trial.

Sl 30. From F. A. R.

D. O. Dt 18-11-01.

Requests to let him have copies of Notification No. 8761-J 12 Dt 21-1-1879 and Letter No. 2072 Dt 23-8-80 from the Govt. of Madras to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore

Sl 31. To the First Asst. Resident.

D. O. Dt 19-11-01.

Encloses copies of the letters asked for.

Sl 32. From the First. Asst. Resident.

No. 6438 Dt 30-12-01.

Forwards copy of a letter from the Bombay Govt. on the subject of the procedure for the recovery of maintenance and conveyance charges of accused persons extradited from the Bombay Presidency to Mysore & Vice Versa.

Sl 33. To the First. Asst Resident

No. 13538/J. 1144 Dt 17-2-02

Reports re the adjustment of the expenditure of Rs. 4-13-6 incurred by the Mysore State in connection with the surrender to the North Kanara Police of a prisoner named Krishna in June 1901.

Sl 34. To the Dist. Magtes. of Dists. (2) To the I.G. of Police (3) To the Comptroller

No. 13544-53/J. 1147 Dt 17-2-02.

Communicates the correspondence in re the recovery from the Bombay Govt. of feeding and escorting charges incurred by the Mysore State in respect of prisoners surrendered to Magistrates in Bombay.

Sl 35. From the First Asst. Resident.

No. 167 Dt 22-3-02

Enquires whether the Durbar has any objection to arrangements being made for the adjustment of the expenditure of Rs. 4-13-6 incurred by the Durbar in connection with the surrender to the North Kanara Police of a prisoner named Krishna.

Sl 36. To the F. A. R.

No. 16446/J. 1433 Dt 7-4-02

States that the Durbar have no objection to such an arrangement being made.

Sl 37. From the F. A. R.

No. 2060 Dt 12-4-02

States that as the matters connected with the extradition of persons to and from Native States are dealt with by the Local Authorities in the Bombay Presidency, without reference to the Bombay Govt. the Resident does not consider it necessary to address that Govt. as desired above.

Sl 38? To the District Magistrate, Shimoga.

No. 17814/J.1607 Dt 7-5-02.

Requests that the bill for Rs. 4-13-6 may be sent direct to the Dist. Magistrate of North Kanara and the amount thereof recovered from him.

No. 17824-30/J.1608 Dt 7-5-02 To the D.Cs of Dists. except Shimoga

Forwards copies of the above

Sl 39. From the Dr. M. Bangalore.

No. Dr 1186 Dt 28-4-02

Requests to move the Bombay Govt. to remit the balance of Rs. 24-3-0 due to this office out of a sum of Rs. 30-13-9 which was advanced to meet the charges &c of taking the prisoner under escort to Poona

Sl 40. To the Dr. M. Bangalore

No. 17823/J.1609 Dt 7-5-02

Requests to submit a bill showing the details of the expenditure incurred, with the connected records at an early date.

Sl 41. From the Dr. M. Bangalore.

No. Dr 47 Dt 14-7-02

Submits a bill for Rs. 30-13-9 being expenditure incurred for escorting a prisoner named — Ramadurga to Poona

Sl 42. To the First Asst. Resident.

No. 1584/J.140 Dt 25-7-02

Requests him to address the Bombay Govt. for the recovery of Rs. 24-3-0 being the balance of the Railway fare of the Police Escort from Bangalore to Poona and back.

Sl 43. From F.A.R.

No. 4344 Dt 5-8-02

Enquires why the procedure referred to in para 2 of Mr. Dobbs letter No. 3386 Dt 14-7-00 for escorting such accused persons has not been followed in the present case.

Sl. 44. To the D. M. Bangalore.

No. 2963/J. 277 Dt 30-8-02

Requests to be informed why the procedure laid down has not been followed in this case.

Sl 45. From the D. M. Bangalore.

No. Dr 314 Dt 27-9-02

States that the circumstances under which the procedure laid down was not followed in regard to a warrant issued by the Dist. Magte. of Poona for the arrest of Ramadurga Kumarasami in Bangalore, cannot be explained and requests that the sum of Rs. 30-13-9 may be recovered.

Sl 46. To all the Dist. Magtes. (2) To the Comptroller.

No. 8374-82/J. 673 Dt 14-11-02

Directs that the said expenses be paid out of the contingencies of the Dist. Magtes Office, and that the procedure in respect of the surrender of prisoners when warrants are issued for their arrest by British Magistrates, laid down in the order of the 21st July 1900, be strictly followed in future.

Indexed

B. R. Narasimha Iyengar.

Proceedings of the Dewan (Judicial),—dated *November 1886.*

THE FOLLOWING PAPERS :—

From the Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, To the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, Ootacamund, G. No. 5825—J. 35.

I am annexing copy of a letter addressed to this Office by the District Magistrate, Nilgiris, on the 29th August last (which should more conveniently and regularly have been sent to the Madras Government), I am desired to communicate the views of the Chief Commissioner on the several points on which information is sought by that officer, in order that the Government of Madras may be in a position to issue the necessary instructions to the Magistrates and other officers concerned in the Madras Presidency.

In answer to the first enquiry, *viz.* whether summonses and warrants issued by Magistrates in the Madras Districts for execution in Mysore may be sent direct to Magistrates and Police Officers by post, I am to state that all such process s may be sent in the manner stated, direct to the Magistrates of Districts or Taluks in Mysore accompanied by postage labels sufficient to cover the cost of return postage.

Warrants, other than those issued under the provisions of Act XIII of 1859, will be executed by Police Officers in Mysore, and the persons arrested under such warrants will be conveyed by them to the frontier and handed over to the nearest Police-station within British Territory. The Chief Commissioner assumes that arrangements will be made to receive all prisoners so delivered. In these cases the Mysore State will bear the cost of batta to the prisoners, and of the guards required to escort them to the frontier.

4. In regard to the execution of warrants issued under Act XIII of 1859, special provision will be necessary for the removal of prisoners, and in order to meet the cost of batta and escort thus involved, the Chief Commissioner proposes that each warrant should be accompanied by a remittance of Rs. 7 (seven). The actual cost incurred may be more or less than this sum, which as representing however a fair average might be suitably fixed for all cases alike, so as to obviate the necessity of adjustment on account. I am desired to ask whether His Grace the Governor in Council is prepared to accept this arrangement in order that instructions in accordance therewith may be issued to the Magistrates in this Province.

From the Acting Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, To the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Judicial Department—Processes—No. 2555—A., 18th December 1878.

I am directed by His Grace the Governor in Council to acknowledge receipt of Major Clarke's letter, dated 28th October 1878, No. 5825—35, intimating the arrangements made for executing in Mysore warrants and other process issued by Magistrates of Madras Districts, and to convey the thanks of this Government for your good offices.

2. The arrangements made by you are quite satisfactory to this Government, and the necessary instructions have been issued to the officers concerned.

ORDER No. 8761—JUDL. 12, DATED BANGALORE, 21ST JANUARY 1879.

The arrangements proposed by the Chief Commissioner for the execution in Mysore warrants and other processes issued by Magistrates in the Madras Presidency having been accepted by the Madras Government, the following instructions are issued for information and guidance :—

Warrants and other processes issued by Magistrates of Madras Districts for execution in this Province, and addressed by post to the Magistrates of Districts or Taluks in Mysore, will be accompanied by postage labels to the amount sufficient to cover the cost of return postage. When unaccompanied by any remittance, the warrant or summons will, after being duly executed, be returned by post "Bearing."

Warrants, other than those issued under the provisions of Act XIII of 1859, will be executed by Police Officers in Mysore, and the persons arrested under such warrant will be conveyed by them to the frontier and handed over to the nearest Police-station within British Territory, where arrangements will be made to receive all prisoners so delivered. In these cases, the cost of batta to the prisoners and of the guards required to escort them to the frontier will be borne by the Mysore State.

Proceedings of the Dewan (Judicial),—d *the Maharaja of Mysore, mber 1886.*

III. Warrants issued by Magistrates ... as Presidency under Act XIII ... must be accompanied by a ... for each person whose ... delivery is required, and in ... His Highness payment, all charges ... within the Province in provi... ...ed 16... ...ort for prisoners will be defrayed ... the Mysore State.

Deputy Commissioners of Districts will communicate copies of this order to al Magistrates and Police Officers within their jurisdiction.

Read Letter No. 3071—370, dated 5th December 1879, from the Judicial Commissioner, forwarding letter No. 151—110, dated 28th November last, and requesting the Chief Commissioner's decision on the question raised, whether or not a second fee should be paid for the execution in Mysore of warrants issued by the Coorg Courts under Section 2 of Act XIII of 1859.

ORDER No. 7291—J. 149, DATED BANGALORE, 12TH JANUARY 1880.

The arrangements made in Chief Commissioner's order No. 8761—J. 12, dated 21st January 1879, relative to the execution of criminal processes issued by Courts in the Madras Presidency and Mysore free of cost, are hereby made applicable to processes issued by Criminal Courts in Coorg, and shall apply to warrants or other processes issued under Section 2, Act XIII of 1859, for the apprehension of accused parties who may have failed to comply with an order of a Magistrate issued under that section and Act.

Notification No. 342, dated Bangalore, 26th March 1884.

In supersession of the existing orders on the subject, the Dewan directs that a fee of Rs. 3 be charged for executing in Mysore the first warrant that may be issued from Coorg under the Breach of Contract Act.

The second and succeeding warrants will be executed free of all charge in cases in which the first warrants remain unexecuted.

CAMP No. 1234—41, DATED MYSORE, 16TH NOVEMBER 1886.

ORDER THEREON.—The attention of all Magistrates in Mysore will be drawn to the orders contained in the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 7291—J. 149, dated 12th January 1880. These orders are still in force, and under them "warrants or other processes issued under Section 2 of Act XIII of 1859 for the "apprehension of accused parties who may have failed to comply with an order of "a Magistrate issued under that section and Act" must be executed in Mysore free of cost in accordance with arrangements made in late Chief Commissioner's order No. 8761—J. 12, dated 21st January 1879, relative to the execution of criminal processes issued by Courts in the Madras Presidency, and afterwards extended to processes issued by Courts in Coorg by order No. 7291—J. 149, dated 12th January 1880.

2. Warrants issued by Magistrates in the Madras Presidency or Coorg under Act XIII of 1859, *other than under Section 2 of that Act*, must as heretofore be accompanied by a fee of Rs. 4 for each person whose arrest and delivery is required, for defraying all charges incurred within Mysore. *Where any such warrant remains unexecuted, a second or succeeding warrant for the arrest of the same person will be executed in Mysore free of charge.*

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Chief Secretary.

o—All District Magist... ...for information, guidance and communication to all Magistrates subordinate to them.

Acts and Regulations passed by His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

REGULATION NO. V OF 1894.

(Passed on the 22nd day of July 1894.)

A Regulation to provide facilities for obtaining the evidence and appearance of prisoners and for service of process upon them.

Preamble.

WHEREAS it is expedient to provide facilities for obtaining the evidence and appearance in Court of prisoners and for service of process upon them; His Highness the Maharaja is pleased to enact as follows:—

Short title, extent and commencement.

1. This Regulation may be cited "The Mysore Prisoners' Testimony Regulation, 1894."

It shall extend to the whole of the Territories of Mysore, and it shall come into force on the 1st day of August 1894.

Criminal Courts may make orders under Regulation.

2. Any Criminal Court not inferior to the Court of a Magistrate of the second class may, in its discretion, if it appear that the testimony of any person confined in any jail is material in any matter depending in such Criminal Court or if a charge of an offence against such person is made or pending, make an order in the form in Schedule A or B (as the case may be) to this Regulation annexed, directed to the officer in charge of the said jail.

Civil Courts may make orders under Regulation.

3. Any Civil Court may, in its discretion, if it appear that the testimony of any person confined in any jail is material in any matter depending in such Civil Court, make an order in the form in the said Schedule A, directed to the officer in charge of the said jail.

When such order is made in any civil matter pending in a Court subordinate to the Court of the District Judge or in any Court of Small Causes, it shall not be forwarded to the officer to whom it is directed or acted upon by him until the same shall have been submitted to, and countersigned by, such Judge or the District Judge within the local limits of whose jurisdiction such Court of Small Causes is situate.

Court to countersign orders.

Every order so submitted shall be accompanied by a statement under the hand of the Judge of the facts which in his opinion render such order necessary, and the District Judge may after considering such statement, decline to countersign the order.

Statement of facts necessitating order.

5. When any person for whose attendance an order as hereinbefore mentioned shall be made is confined in any district other than that in which the Court making or countersigning the order is situate, the order shall be sent by the Court by which it shall have been made or countersigned to the Magistrate of the district or division of a district in which the said person is confined, and such Magistrate shall cause it to be delivered to the officer in charge of the jail in which such person is confined.

Order to be transmitted through Magistrate of District in which person is confined.

6. In any case in which a person is confined in a jail more than one hundred miles distant from the place where any Court subordinate to the Chief Court in which his evidence is required is held, the Judge or the presiding officer of the Court in which the evidence is so required shall if he think it expedient that such person should be removed under this Regulation for the purpose of giving evidence in such Court apply in writing to the Chief Court, and such Chief Court may, if it think fit, make an order in the form in the said Schedule A, directed to the officer in charge of the said jail.

Order by Chief Court for removal of person confined more than 100 miles from place where his evidence is required.

The Chief Court making the order shall send it to the Magistrate of the district or division of a district in which the person named therein is confined, and such Magistrate shall cause the order to be delivered to the officer in charge of the jail in which such person is confined.

7. Upon delivery of any order under this Regulation to the officer in charge of the jail in which the person named therein is confined, such officer shall cause him to be taken to the Court in which his attendance is required so as to be present in such Court at the time in such order mentioned; and shall cause him to be detained in custody in or near the Court until he shall have been examined or until the judge or presiding officer of such Court shall authorize him to be taken back to the jail in which he was confined.

Prisoner to be brought up.

8. The Government of Mysore may, from time to time, by notification in the official Gazette, direct that any person or any class of persons shall not be removed from the jail in which he or they may be confined; and thereupon, and so long as such notification remains in force, the provisions of this Regulation, other than those contained in Sections 10 and 11, shall not apply to such person or class of persons.

Power to Government to exempt certain prisoners from operation of Regulation.

9. When any person named in any order made under Sections 2, 3, or 6 appears to be from sickness or other infirmity unfit to be removed, the officer in charge of the jail in which he is confined shall apply to the Magistrate of the district or division of a district in which such jail is situate, and if such Magistrate shall, by writing under his hand declare himself to be of opinion that such person is from infirmity unfit to be re-

When Jailor may disobey orders.

No. 7198-7205—225, DATED 2ND FEBRUARY 1887.

Erratum.—In paragraph 2, line 3, of the Dewan's Proceedings No. 1234 —41, dated 16th November 1886, for the word and figure "rupees four" read "rupees three."

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,

Chief Secretary.

To—The District Magistrate of Hassan with reference to his letter of the 14th December 1886.
Other District Magistrates in Mysore.

(c) when any person named in any such order is under committal for trial;

or under a remand pending a trial or pending a preliminary investigation;

or when any such person is in custody for a period which would expire before the expiration of the time required for removing him under this Regulation and for taking him back to the jail in which he is confined;

then and in every such case, the Officer in charge of the jail shall abstain from obeying such order, and shall send to the Court from which the order has been issued, a statement of his reason for not obeying the same:

Provided that the said officer shall not so abstain when the order has been made under Section 2, and the person named in the order is confined under committal for trial or under a remand pending trial or pending a preliminary investigation, and does not appear to be from sickness or other infirmity unfit to be removed, and the place where his evidence is required is not more than five miles distant from the jail in which he is confined.

Commission for examination of prisoners.

10. Whenever it shall appear to any Civil Court that the evidence of a person confined in any jail, who for any of the causes mentioned in Section 8 or 9 cannot be brought up before it, is material in any matter depending before such Court,

and whenever it shall appear to any such Court that the evidence of a person confined in any jail, situated more than 10 miles distance from the place at which such Court is held, is material in any such matter,

and in any case in which the District Judge shall, under Section 4, have declined to countersign the order for removal,

the Court may, if it think fit, issue a commission under the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, for the examination of such person in the jail in which he is confined.

Commission how to be directed.

11. Every commission issued under Section 10 shall be directed to the District Court of the District wherein the jail in which such person is confined is situate; and such Court shall commit the execution of the commission to the officer in charge of such jail, or to such other person as the Court thinks fit.

Process how served on prisoners.

12. When any process directed to any person confined in any jail is issued from any Court, the same may be served by exhibiting to the officer in charge of such jail or prison the original of such process, and by depositing with him a copy thereof.

Process served to be transmitted at prisoner's request.

13. Every officer in charge of a jail upon whom any such service as is mentioned in Section 12 shall be made, shall, as soon as may be, cause the copy of the process so deposited with him to be shown and explained to the prisoner to whom it is directed, and shall thereupon endorse upon such process a certificate signed by him that the prisoner to whom the process is directed is a prisoner in the jail under his charge, and that he has received a copy thereof.

Such certificate shall be sufficient *prima facie* evidence of the service of such process; and if the prisoner requests that the said copy be sent to any other person, and provides the cost of so sending it; the said officer shall cause the same to be so sent through the post office by registered letter.

Deposit of costs.

14. No order in any civil matter shall be made by a Court under any of the provisions hereinbefore contained until the amount of the costs and charges of the execution of such order (to be determined by the Court) is deposited in such Court;

Provided that, if upon any application for such order, it appears to the Court to which the application is made that the applicant has not sufficient means to meet the said costs and charges, the Court may pay the same out of any fund applicable

to the contingent expenses of such Court, and every sum so expended may be recovered by Government from any person ordered by the Court to pay the same, as if it were costs of suit recoverable under the Code of Civil Procedure.

Power to make rules.

15. It shall be lawful for the Government of Mysore to make rules consistent with this Regulation—

(1) for regulating the escort of prisoners to and from the Court in which their presence is required;

(2) for regulating the amount to be allowed for the costs and charges of such escort; and

(3) for the guidance of officers in all other matters connected with the enforcement of this Regulation;

and from time to time to alter and add to the rules so made.

Publication of rules.

16. All such rules, alterations, and additions shall be published in the official Gazette, and shall, from the date of such publication, be deemed to have the force of law.

Power to declare who shall be deemed officer in charge of Jail.

17. The Government of Mysore may also declare in each case what officer shall, for the purposes of this Regulation, be deemed to be "the officer in charge of the jail."

Schedule A.

Court of

To the officer in charge of the (*state name of jail.*)

You are hereby required to have the body of , now a prisoner in under safe and sure conduct before the at on the day of next by of the clock in the forenoon of the same day, there to give testimony in a cause now pending before [*or* in a certain charge or prosecution now pending before against *or as the case may be*] and after the said shall then and there have given his testimony before the said or the said shall dispense with his further attendance, cause him to be conveyed under safe and sure conduct back to the said jail.

day of

A. B.

(*Countersigned*) C. D.

Schedule B.

Court

To the officer in charge of the (*state name of jail.*)

You are hereby required to have the body of , now a prisoner in under safe and sure conduct before the on the day of next by of the clock in the forenoon of the same day, there to answer a charge now pending before and, after such charge shall have been disposed of or the said shall dispense with his further attendance, cause him to be conveyed under safe and sure conduct back to the said jail.

day of

B.

(*Countersigned*) C. D.

K. SHESHADRI IYER,
Dewan of Mysore.

Note.

Vide para 2 of the Chief Commissioner's Notification of 21st January 1879 No.8761-J.12. Sl. No.1.

Vide Madras Chief Secretary's letter No. 2072 dated 23rd August 1880. Sl. No. 17(a).

Enclosure to Deputy Commissioner's letter.

The cost of feeding and escorting to the frontier prisoners arrested under warrants of Magistrates of Madras Districts are now borne by the Mysore State. And vice versa, all charges connected with the rendition of criminals to Mysore under an extradition warrant are borne by the Madras authorities.

There is no such arrangement subsisting with the Bombay Government. On the contrary, that Government has, in its order No.5455 dated 7th September 1896, distinctly expressed its adherence to the principle that the expenses of extradition, including the charges for conveyance and maintenance of persons whose extradition is demanded, should be debited to the State demanding the extradition, whether such persons are or are not eventually surrendered in pursuance of such demand. The Bombay Government would also appear to have carried this principle into practice in several instances, as will be seen from the Shimoga District Magistrate's letter now under disposal.

Vide sl. Nos. 4 & 6.

2. As regards Police escorts, the Bombay Government proposed some time ago that the fares of Police escorts sent from one Province to another, should be paid up to the destination of their charge and defrayed by the Police

Superintendent of the despatching District from his contingencies like other ordinary Police charges of his District. This question does not appear to have been finally settled. Vide enclosures 1 & No.4 Vide para 2 of sl. No.20

The District Magistrate, Shimoga, now sends us a bill for Rs 7-1-0 incurred in feeding and escorting an accused person arrested in this State on the 2nd November 1898 and handed over to the custody of the Sirsi (Bombay) Police on 10th December 1898, and he asks that it may be recovered from the Bombay Government. (There has been some delay on the part of the local officers in making this reference to Government).

A draft to the Resident is put up for approval.

15/5/01

Submitted to the Dewan for approval, with draft of letter to the First Asstt Resident.

Rec'd 18/5/1901

Rec'd 21/5/01

Office note Continued.

4. The Resident was accordingly addressed with a view to have the amount of the bill recovered from the

the District Magistrate
of North Kanara and
remitted to the District
Magistrate of Shimoga.

Serial No 27

5. In reply it was
observed by the 1st Assist-
-ant Resident that the
charges of Rs 7-1-0 which
was sought to be recover
were incurred so far
back as 1898, and that
an explanation be
furnished as to the
delay that had occurred
in presenting the demand.
It was also requested that
copies of any correspond
in the matter which has
passed between any
officers of the Dharwar
and of the Bombay
Govt. A list of the
cases in which the
Bombay Courts had
declined such charges
from

from the Durbar during the last 3 years, and a list of cases in which similar demands had been made by the Durbar direct to the Magistrate in the Bombay Presidency, was also requested to be furnished, together with information as to the result in each case.

6. The Deputy Comr of Shimoga who was requested to furnish the explanation and the information required in the above, stated in reply that the delay in making the claim was partly due to a misapprehension that no charge was properly leviable as a reciprocity of understanding might exist between the Durbar and the Bombay Govt as was the case between

x Serial No 28.

x Serial No 30

this State and the
Madras Govt. He
further stated that
the matter of the
present claim, no
correspondence ha
taken place betwee
the officers of the Bo
-bay Govt and his
office. He added tha
during the last three
years, he could only
trace only one ca
in which the District
Magistrate of Bombay
(North Kanara) demu
and recovered from
the State, two iden
of Rs 5-3-11-6 and Rs 3
being the feeding al
conveyance charge
by accused persons
who were surrendere
by the District Mag
of North Kanara
this State.

7. The above in
-formation was
communic

communicated to the * Serial No 32.
Residency, and in reply * Serial No 33.
thereto, the 1st Assistant
Resident stated that
in view of the delay
that had occurred in
presenting the claim,
it was not considered
preferable to press
the Bombay Govt
for its payment, and
proposed that this
opportunity might
be taken to address
the Bombay Govt
with a view to the
introduction of a
reciprocal arrangement
such as existed between
Mysore and Madras
under which each
administration met
the charges actually
incurred by itself.
A list of all cases during
which the last 3 years
in which applications
for the payment

of such charge had
been made by officers
of the Bombay
Presidency either
relating to Shimoga
or any other District
of the State, was
also requested to be
furnished.

Serial No 34.

8. In reply the
Resident was informed
that the Durbar did
not desire to press
the claim for reasons
stated, that they con-
curred with the proposal
to address the Bombay
Govt in view to the
introduction of a
reciprocal arrangement
such as existed between
Mysore and Madras
and that the list
of cases referred to
in the last para would
be furnished after
receipt of reports
from

from the Collector
& Magistrates of the
other Dts (Mean. Shimoga)

9. The District Magistrate × Serial No 36.
of all the Districts except
Shimoga, who were asked
to report if there were
any cases (such as are referred to above)
-ferable Districts,
reported that there × Ll nos 39645.
were no such cases
in their Districts and
this fact was Com-
-municated to the × serial No 46.
Revenue.

10. With reference
to the above correspond-
-ence the First Assistant
Resident now forwards × vide letter No 6430 dt 30/11/01.
for the information
of the Durbar, a copy
of letter No 8758 dated
18th November 1901, received
from the Govt of Bombay
on the Subject. In the
letter in question it is

stated that the Govt.
in Council could not
could not accept
the proposal to
amend the procedure
regarding the recovery
of the maintenance &
conveyance charges
of accused persons
extradited from the
District of North
Kanara to the Mysore
State and vice versa
by making these
charges debitable
to the side which
complies with the
demand for surrend
as it would be
contrary to the gen
rule observed in
that Presidency.

11. Letter No 141/11 dated
10th August 1901, from
the Dy. Comr., Shimoga
D/- (Serial No 37 in the file),

Submitted for orders.

11-1-02.

We have to pay them in Cost and recover from the Bombay Govt the sum we spend on their behalf. Draft a letter please asking them to remit & recover all the sums due for us — including that referred to in para 7 of the note.

are not Copies of the last Bombay Govt Letters to the Magistrates?

12/1/1902

For R.X.

(1) Put up draft.

(2) As what is referred to in the Bombay Govt Resolution of 22 Dec 1901, no 5, this has been communicated to all the Districts with our order no 12020-29 of 7.1605 (1901 (2 nos 29) —

15-1-02

Cubed

As the bills & the original records connected with the claims referred to in the Secretary's order above, were sent to the Dist Magistrate a draft covering letter for the resubmission of these bills is submitted for the Resident's signature as they have to be sent to the Resident with the request for

Two drafts are put up for approval; one being a letter of the J. A. Resdt. in respect of the recovery of the charges incurred in the Chimoga Dt., as reported in the Dt. Magte's letter no. 141/11 dt/10-11-01, and no. 37; and the other communicating the correspondence to all D.C. Magistrates with certain instructions on the subject.

The papers have to be submitted to the Dewan as required by him on the Resdt's letter of 20-12-01.

Submitted to the Dewan.

1-2-02

3225/RD 1.2.02

Both the drafts have been approved by the Dewan — 3523 7.2.02

11/2

Office note continued

With reference to the letter regarding recovery of charges amounting to Rs 4-13-6, incurred by the Dumbar in connection with the Surrender

8(Rs 5-2

surrender to the North Kanara Police of a prisoner named Krishna in June 1901, the F.A. Resident ~~desired that~~ requested* that if the Durbar have no objection, arrangements may be made for the settlement of this and all similar accounts direct with the District Magistrates in the Bombay Presidency Concerned.

* vide F.A. Resident's No 164 of 27/8/02

If approved, a copy of the present letter may be communicated to the Dt Magistrate of Sunaga and to other Dt Magistrates for information & guidance.

Submitted for orders.

4/9/02 A draft to the Residency giving to the proposed arrangement is put up. The purport of the letter may be

Communicated after the
Bombay Govt has issued
instructions to the Dt
Magistrates of the Bombay
Presidency with regard to
the arrangement

Submitted for orders

Rus
2/4/02

Note confirmed

In reply to the
letter above referred to,
the J. A. Resident states
that as the matters
connected with the
extradition of persons
to and from Native
States are dealt with
by the Local authorities
in the Bombay Presidency
without reference to
the Bombay Govt, the
Resident does not con-
-sider it necessary
to address that Govt
as desired in the letter in
question. He further
observes that should any

ing District Magistrate of
the Bombay Presidency
Act to settle the
claim for payment of
the expenditure incurred
in connection with
the surrender of accused
persons from the Mysore
State, the Govt of Bombay
will then be asked to
issue the needful instructions
to the Dt Magte of that Presidency.

Subd for orders as
to whether the purport
of these letters from the Resident
may be communicated
to the Dt Magistrate of
Chinglepet?

Govt Proceedings No. 13564-53

I. 1147

has already laid down that
the cost of surrendering
persons in Mysore Hate
in the Bombay Dts should
be received from the Bombay
Govt in pursuance of the
letter from the Resident. The
Resident now states that
such accounts may be adjusted
directly by the Dt. Magte
concerned and that it is not
necessary to address the
Bombay Govt as matters
relating to expenditure
persons are settled by
the Local authorities. See

have kindly come to [illegible] in
the above proceedings
submitted with a draft
for approval

Ben
2/14/02

Subd to Secy [illegible] 2/15/02

Ref.

Letter No D. 1186 dated 28 April 99 2 from the District Magistrate of Bangalore

---

In the above letter the District Magistrate brings to notice that one Ramaiah Vishnu Kalaskar of Paona having appeared before him and requested the arrest of one Ramadrum Kumaraswamy who had cheated him to the amount of Rs 400 & odd, he caused him to be arrested & remanded to the Central jail. A warrant having been received from the City Magistrate, Poona before whom a complaint had been lodged, the accused was sent to Poona under the escort of the City Police, Bangalore. A sum of Rs 30-13-9 was is reported to have been advanced

The details of the expenditure are not given.

advanced to meet the charges of taking the prisoner to Poona. The Dy Magistrate of Satara had the City Magistrate of Poona who was asked to remit the money advanced by his office, has remitted only Rs 6-10-9, being the bare drainage incurred on account of the prisoner, and declined to remit the balance on the ground that the escorting charges should be met from the Bangalore treasury.

In Govt Regs: No 135/453 (P. 114) dated 17th February 1902 it has been laid down that the feeding and escorting charges of prisoners incurred by the Mysore State in respect of prisoners surrendered to Magistrates in Bombay Districts should be recoverable from the Bombay Government in pursuance of

i.e the charges incurred on account of Railway fare to the Police escorting prisoner. (Vide copy of City Magistrate's letter).

+ S.C. No 52

Rec'd the Mast ref. file A.
which also encloses
SC No 56

of the letter from the Resident. In his letter No 2060 dated the 12th April 1902, the F.A. Resident now states that such accounts may be adjusted directly by the District Magistrate concerned, and that should any District Magistrate in the Bombay Presidency object to settle the claim for payment of the expenditure incurred in connection with the surrender of accused persons from the Mysore State, the Govt of Bombay will be asked to issue needful instructions to the District Magistrates of that Presidency.

Submitted for orders as to whether the Resident may be addressed in the matter the defaulters might be called upon [illegible]

Bill with
Call for details & then
draft a letter to the
Res[t]. Hm
7/5
Draft Sd 2/5
HH
2/5/02

Office note Continued.

The Dt Magistrate vide his letter No D.479 dated 12/7/02 submits a bill with details as called for as directed above. It will be seen therefrom that 2 constables escorted the prisoner Kumarasawmy to the Dy Magistrate's Court, Poona. The Railway fare of the 2 constables & the prisoner at Rs 6-0-9 each amounted to Rs 30-3-9 & the feeding charges of the prisoner for 2½ days amounted to Rs. 10.

The Dt Magistrate

Cdd.

States and of the Aden
amount of 30-13-9 Sterling
sum of Rs 6-10-9
was remitted by the
City Magistrate, Poona,
being the bare drainage
and feeding charges of
the prisoner, the
balance having been
declined to be remitted
on the ground that the
escorting charges should
be met from the State
from which the prisoner
is sent.

also printed with
G.O. No 17020-29 dt 24/6/29
(Sl No 29.)

In letter No 9136/9830
dated 9th February 1930
(Sl No 6), to the First Assis-
-tant Resident, the Durbar
have accepted the
principle that the pay
of Police escorts sent
from one Province to
another should be
paid up to the destination
of their charge by the
Supdt of Police of the
Deccan

les-patching district.
The correspondence on
the subject has been
communicated to the
District Magte in Govt
order No 17020-29 dated x & No 29 in the file
J. 1689
21st June 1901.

Under these cir-
cumstances, it does
not seem necessary
~~[illegible]~~ to address
the Bombay Govt thro'
the Residency, to pay
the ~~x~~ Railway fares (Rs 16)
of the 4 Police Constables
~~x~~ who escorted the
prisoner to Poona.

If approved the
Dy Magistrate's attention
may ~~will~~ be drawn to the
Govt order above referred
to, and he may be
informed that the
fares of the Police escorts
should be met from
contingencies of the Police
Supdt's office.
Submitted for orders

I do not agree. The
Correspondence printed in P
No 29 merely relates to a
point of convenience in
despatching police escorts
prisoners of treasure, &
Sg. that the fares of police
escorts should be advanced
from Bhir contingencies and sub
up to the destination of their
charge, and not merely to the
frontier. (Vide also my note
in P No 59).

In the correspondence re:
feeding and escort charges of
prisoners surrendered to the
Bombay Govt., — commencing fro
P No 25 and ending with P No
56 —, it has been settled that
all such charges should be
secured from that Govt. by
our officers, corresponding direct
with its officers, and that, in the
event of any of the latter objec
to settle the claim for payme
of the expenditure incurred in conn
with the surrender of accused
persons from the Native States, the
Resident is to advise the Bo
Govt. of the instructions to its offi
charges. Please put up a draft
the Secy. on the subject.

19/7

Draft Ltr.
21/7/02

Draft passed & issued.

The Asst Assistant to the Resident returns the bill in question with the ~~remark as to~~ enquiry why the procedure indicated in para 2 of his office letter No. 3386 dated 14th July 1900 was not followed.

He further observes that the Bombay Govt Resolution, No. 5455 of 7th September 1896, provides only for the payment of charges for the conveyance and maintenance of extradited persons and of that if the procedure referred to above had been followed in the present instance, no charge on account of police escort would have been incurred by the Durbar.

The procedure therein provided is briefly this; the Mysore Magistrate

Serial No. 1 of the Linked Files

S. No. 23/a in the File

who executes the warrant has to cause the prisoner to be escorted by the police of his own district to the nearest Railway station, where he may be handed over to the Civil & Military Station Police, who will then escort the prisoner as far as the frontier of British India and there hand him over to an escort of British Police, whose duty it is to cause him to be produced before the Magistrate who issued the warrant.

In the present instance the District Magistrate should have handed over the prisoner in question to the ~~Sub-~~ Railway Police at Bareilly of ~~Police~~, C & M station. This may be pointed out to the District Magistrate all the Sub before this is done, perhaps the Superintendent of Police may be called by the Executive Magistrate for orders. The Darwaza

SM
2/11/20

order

Sir

on the Residency letter:—

"Let me see this."

22.8.02

Ask for the explⁿ suggested by

~~Submit the file afterwards~~ to

the Dist^r

27/8

Drafted

27/8/02

The District Magistrate (Mr Sparkes) states that the ~~City~~ escort was escorted by the City Police as endorsed by the then Dt. Magistrate (~~probably~~ Mr M.S. Narayan Rao) on the warrant and that as the judicial Munshis of his office have since left this place by transfer, it is impossible now to explain why the procedure referred to by the Resident was not followed. The bill has been re-submitted for transmission to the Bombay govt for ~~refund~~ recovery of the Escorting charges.

Submitted for orders. The bill may be returned to the Govt^t with the observation that the fares of the Police escorts must be met from the contingencies of the Police Superintendence. A reply is also due to the Residency.

This may be done.

SN
24-10-02.

4/10/02

The District Magistrate however refers to the Govt. Order of 17-2-02 No. 135-2-03 ~~Pol~~ X 6 Rev 1124

cable stating the debit of escort charges to the Bombay Govt. In this connection reference is requested to the course of the correspondence which led to the issue of that order. In Mem no 23, the D.C. Magistrate Shimoga submitted a bill for the charges incurred in "feeding and escorting" a certain prisoner surrendered to the Bombay Govt; and the Madras Govt forwarded an application to the Residency on the same account in Mem no 25. In the G.A. Resident's letter in Mem no 27, & subsequent correspondence however, the above appeared as "conveyance and maintenance" charges. There was no reason to suspect that the expression "conveyance" charges" was intended to be used in any other than its natural sense in the particular cases, viz. all charges incurred in connection with the transport of a prisoner, including the charges of police escort; but para 3 of the G.A. Resident's letter of 5-Nov (Mem no 66) seems to make a distinction.

But however this may be, the order of 17.2-05 was not an authority for the recovery of the prisoner right up to Poona, but only for the recovery of any escort charges incurred from the Bombay Govt. The place up to

which ~~the~~ prisoners may be escorted is
fixed by Govt order no. 938 dy 21st July 1900
(N no 2 in linked file) - J. 101

Thos Hughes printed out to the Dy
Magte.

4.10-02

Is not the Bombay Govt at any
rate bound to pay for escort up
to Dharwar - recg to the printed
letter communicated to D.C. Magte vide
with G.P. no. J. 1039 d. 31/Jan/02? If so 1627-35
draft to that effect please Ajay
28/10 Nov 29-10

No 5 of linked file

bd
Draft to the Residency to put up as per orders.] I don't think it necessary will do.

SN
6/11/02 The letter printed in N no 5 ~~above~~
~~is~~ is supplemental to, & must be read with,
the one printed in N no 2 with G.O. no 938 dy J. 101
21st July 1900. These two documents are silent
as to reimbursement of escort-charges; and
under them the Mysore Police are to take
prisoners ~~up~~ up to the nearest station within
the jurisdiction of the G.I.P. Railway Police.
In the present instance, such station was the
Bangalore Cantonment itself. They were not
expected, so long as that
~~remained~~ remained, to go beyond that.
In the present case it does not seem
likely that we should be able to recover any reimbursement
to whether "escorting" a distinguished foreign "campagnard"

changes can be removed at all
see para 1 of my note 4-11-25.

I see I did not read the letter referred to in para 6 of my note 4-11-25. Ask the Dewan to carry out the contingencies & to follow the procedure of handing over to the Br. Police strictly hereafter. Reply to that effect to the Residency.

6/11

Put up Draft 6/11

Two drafts submitted as per orders. This has to be submitted to the Dewan in accordance with the order on the Residency letter of the 5th August 1925 (vide serial No. 66)

7.11.25

7/11

SN 7-11-25.

The Dewan.

7-11-25

Needs to seek for in the draft as to whether the letter to the Dewan, note or letter para 2; is also to be enclosed

7085 dt 7.11.25

11-11-25

Issue the draft after the Dewan. The Residency draft may be resubmitted with explanation

10/11

P.T.O

Orders approved by the Dewan are issued.

The draft reply to the Resident is re-submitted for

Perusal for other orders.

15-11-02 Read draft for Secy. & Dewan

N 15-11-02 With reference to the Dewan's remarks of the 8th inst on the letter dated 7th inst, I can only say that the procedure in para 2 of the printed Residency letter dated 14th July 1900 was not within my knowledge, and that the clerk who dealt with it was also not aware of it, not having been in this Branch when it was taken up. The correspondence printed with it as No. 5 seemed to be self-contained and there was also the Secretary's order of 2-5-02 to call for the bill and to address the Residency.

Orders are requested about the issue of a reply to the Resident, the terms of the draft.

17.11.02

I think this may be issued also.

19/11

The Dewan.

19.11.02

7842

ND 19.11.02

charge can be renewed at once
see para 1 of my note 4-11-21.

I see I did not read the letter referred to properly 6.11.21
Ask the Dewan to keep out of ...
Contingencies & to follow the pro-
cedure of handing over to the
Bdr. Police strictly hereafter. Reply
to that effect to the Residency.

Draft Reply 6/11

6/11

Two drafts submitted as per orders. This has to be
submitted to the Dewan in accordance with the
order on the Residency letter of the 5th August 1921
(vide serial No. 66)

7.11.21

7/11

SN
7-11-21

The Dewan.

7-11-21

7085
R.D. 7.11.21

Records to decide if the instructions
whether the letter of the Dewan, which is omitted
para 2, is also to be issued

11-11-21

Issue the draft after the Dewan.
the Residency draft may be resub-
mitted with explns

10/11

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc.]

To The Gl. Rums

Please put up a copy of the
ate ship Commrs Prv. of 1880 referred
within.

MB
25/4/01.

Jurat Prv:— Die Prv: no 3734 of 17.8.80
Reap was traced to no 967 692
of 6.10.1884 from the D'C Barcelona
the dance was disposed of by
Prv: no 4154 of 16.10.84. The referred
Reap is not to be found in the
bundle nor is there any slip
to show how & for what
purpose, the Reap was
removed.

RB. 26/4/01

J
26.4.01

Subj: J.7 No 17 of 1899-1900 on the subject
ent up. (Please see Sl No 17 therein for the
ame Prv: of 1880).

MB
26/4/01

Mear was this Parker going between
the 15th April & the 13th inst 1901?

Write a letter to Regr
mentioning the particulars of this case & requesting
that the Parker may be addressed
with a view to the Register being given to
the Register. Refer to the existing practice
as regards receipts for - & also the
Parker order of 7-9-96 and
Thanks &c must be carefully followed.

N. B.—Should notes, etc., be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or the slips pasted on this form.

Returned.

MB
15/5

Draft submitted for approval. The Case is similar to the one in Correspondence but be sent on, as otherwise delay may be set up as a bar to the recapture of the claim.

4/9/1901

To the Dewan

Draft not Subd as per orders of Secy.

This need not be sent

W/9

To The First Asstt to the Resdt in Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter no. 1179 of the 115 23rd July 1901, I am directed to forward the marginally noted records connected with another case in which charges (amounting to Rs. 4-13-6), incurred in connection with the feeding and transport of a prisoner, surrendered by this State to the British Police in June 1901, have to be recouped by the Bombay Govt. and I am to request the favour of that Govt. being addressed with this view.

I have etc

3-9-01-

Copy of Shimoga Dt. Magte's letter no. 14/11 dt 10-8-01; and its enclosures in original.

Mysore

Nov 31

THE RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

No. 547
388'97

Bangalore, the 31st January 19

Copy of the following letter forwarded to t

Dewan of Mysore, for favor of the opinion of the Durbar at an early date.

together with copy of the enclosure.

First Assistant Resident.

Letter No. 7109 , dated the 16th October 1899, from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Judicial Department, to the Resident at Mysore.

I am directed to forward for your information, copy of draft rules submitted by the Inspector-General of Police, Bombay Presidency, on the subject of the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure. It will be seen that it is proposed to adopt in this Presidency the practice which, it is understood, prevails

in Bengal and the North West Provinces, viz; that the fares of Police escorts sent from one Province to another should be paid up to the destination of their charge and not only up to the station at which the escort is to be relieved, the railway tickets being made over to the relieving escort at the relieving station. Before, however, finally adopting this practice the Governor of Bombay in Council, I am to say, would be glad to be informed whether the Government of Mysore are willing to accept the principle underlying the rules framed by the Inspector-General of Police and whether they will give reciprocity in the matter.

Enc to 854

Draft Rules regarding the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure.

---

1. Railway fares of Police parties escorting either prisoners or treasure should be paid by the Superintendent of Police of the despatching District, up to the destination of the charge, whether it is within or without the Bombay Presidency, the expenditure being entered in his contingent bill like other ordinary Police charges of his District. The tickets issued to the original escort should be handed over to each relieving escort at the relieving station. The Railway fares for the return journey of each escort should be paid before starting by the District to which the escort belongs, the charge being treated as one incurred in that District. Arrangements have been made with the Railway authorities to permit Railway tickets of escorts in charge of prisoners and treasure to be handed over to the Railway escort at the relieving station.

2. The following rules should be observed in the case of relief of escorts and guards for prisoners and treasure when proceeding through and beyond the limits of the Bombay Presidency:-

(1) A. The relieving stations in the Presidency will be as under:-

Bhusawal.	Belgaum.
Ahmednagar.	Dharwar.
Sholapur.	Thana.
Poona.	Ahmedabad.

B.-Outside the Presidency the relieving stations are as follows:-

Khandwa	Guntkal Junction
Nagpur.	Bellari.
Wadi.	Harihar.

(2). A telegraphic notice will ordinarily be received by the Head-quarters or other chief Constable concerned, of the date and hour on which an escort for prisoners or treasure requiring relief will arrive, and it will be his duty to arrange for the relief of such escort on arrival, and at the same time to give notice by wire to the officer in charge of the next relieving station of the date and hour when the escort may be expected.

(3) Police Superintendents of Districts in the Presidency where relieving stations are fixed should note the relieving stations beyond the limits of the Presidency as the Bhusawal escort will have to be relieved at Khandwa and Nagpur as the case may be, the Sholapur escort at Wadi and the Dharwar escort at Bellari and Guntkal in the Madras Presidency, and at Harihar in the jurisdiction of the Resident, Mysore.

(4) Timely notice by wire of the relief required at Khandwa and Nagpur should be given to the Head-quarters Inspectors at those places. The Head Constable, Railway Police, Wadi Junction, should be similarly instructed to arrange for the relief of escort, at least 2 days' previous notice being given of the arrival of prisoners or treasure at Wadi. At Bellari, the Superintendent of Police, Bellari District, should be informed by wire and the Superintendent of Police, Anantapur, similarly advised for the relief required at Guntkal. Requisitions for relief required at Harihar should be made in due time by wire to the Railway Head Constable at that station.

(5) The telegraphic requisition for relief should also state the strength of escort required.

Copy,

H. Clarke

Registrar.

SECTION Police
FILE NO. 70/99
SERIAL No. 2

Bangalore
3rd February 1900

My Dear Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar,

I return the papers received with your D.O of this date after perusal. The Rules appear to be suitable and clear. But as far as I can see they have no application to the Mysore Police as they stand. You will observe that it is provided in the Rules that Dharwar & Haribar would be the relieving stations on Bombay & Mysore sides respectively and that notice for required relief would be given to the Railway Head Constable at Haribar. We have no Railway Police on this line and can have nothing to do with the relief.

Further we have nothing to do with escort of Treasure. As regards escort of prisoners we generally hand them over to British Police in our own Territory or convey them to the nearest Police Station on our frontier and are prepared to receive delivery of extradited Criminals either in British Territory or at the Police Station

in our Province which is nearest to the frontier of the British Province which sends the Criminal. I think therefore that if this practice is intended to be continued, the Resident may be informed that the draft Rules will not apply to us. If however the Bijapur Government be required to arrange for relief or escort under these Rules, Haricha is unsuitable as it is a minor station and sufficient number of Constables will not be available. We must adopt some District Head Quarters if possible or select at least a Taluk Station for the purpose. If former, Dumkur is the nearest Station to the frontier. If the latter, Davangere may be fixed upon. In any case two days full notice should be given to the Police Superintendent or Head of the Taluk Police. On the whole it appears to me that we cannot safely undertake such relief any where else but at Bangalore, as you will see the Inspector General of Bombay has selected very important Stations most of which are District Head Quarters.

Yours very sincerely,

[illegible]

SECTION Police No: 6136
8830 9-2-1900

FILE No. 70999

SERIAL No. 3

To

The 1st Asst to the
Resident Magistrate

Sir

With reference to your
Memo - No. 5547 of
the 31st January 1900 for
- warding for opinion
letter No. 7109 of the 16th
October 1899 from the Secretary
to the Board of Examiners
forwarding a copy
of the off-duty Constable
by the Chief of Police
Bermuda Presidency,
on the subject of the
payment of fees by
Police recruits previously
to other Provinces with
Prisoners or treasure,
I am directed to state
that the Bermuda
Authority to accept the
Constable under
the Rule -

No: 9137 / 8831 89-2-99.

Copy to the D.S. of Police for info. with ref. to his
D.O. 89-2-1900 - Bygraves
(Sd.) C. Strachan

[illegible] available

[illegible] Residency and not in the Durban
of the Durban Police Guard I have the
[illegible] through the Resident required
[illegible] which is about 55 miles
from Harrismith and notice is
the Head Quarters of a Zulu named
[illegible] as a [illegible]
Main Harrismith which [illegible]
Zulus called a [illegible]

J 80 (9)

7738
17-1-01 1901 Pol 114

SECTION Police

FILE No. 70199 4 11

SERIAL No. 1. 1

From J. E. David Esqre
The Dist Supdt of Police
Bangalore

To The Dy Comr, Kolar
thro' THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 16th January 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 197
No.

Enclosure No.

Forwd: Copy of a letter read: from the Distt Supdt of Police, Bangalore, to the Dy: Commr: Kolar Distt, stating that the Gold Fields Magt should have made his own arrangements thro' the K. G. Field Police for the handing over of prisoner to the nearest Police Station within the British Territory & not the Ry: Police within the Mysore Territory &c.

Copy kept

References to be marked.

No. 92444/1030 dt 19. January 01

Forwarded to the Dy Comr, Kolar

District.

(Sd) H. Ramaniengar
2. Sec.

Exd 1894

Office of the Distt Supdt of Police 10
Civil & Military Station
Bangalore, 12th January 1901.

From

J. E. David Esq.,
Distt Supdt of Police
C & M. Station.
Bangalore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar Distt.
thro' The British Resident.

Sir,

With reference to the enclosed extract from the Railway Police Sub Inspectors diary of 5th Instt, I have the honor to request the favor of your inviting the attention of the Kolar Gold Fields Magte to Govt order on the subject copy enclosed for ready reference In accordance therewith the Gold Fields Magte should have made his own arrangements to the Kolar Gold Fields Police for the handing over of prisoner to the nearest Police station within the British Territory and not the Ry: Police within the Mysore Territory.

A bill for expenses incurred by the Ry. Police in giving effect to the Kolar Gold Fields Magtes orders in the present instance will be forwarded in due course for favor of payment

I have &c
Sd/- J. E. David.
Distt Supdt of Police.

Extract from the diary of Sub Inspector Bheemsain Rao for 5th January 1901.

While in court the K. G. F. Police proceeded before the special magt a man whom they had arrested with warrant issued by the Presidency magt Madras. The Special magt passed orders in writing that the man should be handed over to the Railway Police for prosecution before the Presidency magt: Court, Madras and, for which purpose he granted a remand till monday the 7th inst.

I asked the magt for money for travelling expenses, the magt declined to give.

As the man has to be produced before the magt on monday I will send him to Madras under proper ~~escort~~ escort paying money for their travelling expenses.

The Permanent advance money is expended. I have now to pay money from my pay, I therefore beg that Rs. 10/- may be sent to me. I will submit my bill after the return of the man.

sd/- K. Bheemsain Rao.
Sub-Inspector

Dy 5-1-1901.

Sir, The usual procedure is for magts to advance the charges for drainage of accused & escort. It is hard times for the Police to meet these charges from their pockets.

Initd/- E. R. Shaw
Inspector & D.
6-1-1901

Government of Madras.

No 2072 Jud. Deptt.

23rd August 1880

Extradition

Read the following paper: -

No 117. From W. G. Cunningham, Esq: B.C.S., Secretary to the Chief Commr of Mysore, to the Chief Secy: to the Govt of Madras, dy Bangalore 17-8-1880 No 3734-967 G. -

I am directed by the Chief Commr to invite the attention of His Grace the Governor in Council to the correspondence ending with your letter No 2556-A, dated 18th December 1878, in which it was decided that when persons arrested in Mysore in compliance with the warrants of magts in the Madras Presidency were conveyed to the British frontier and handed over to the nearest Police Stn within British Territory, the cost of batta to the prisoners and of the guards required to escort them should be borne by the Mysore Govt. This arrangement is not reciprocated by the Madras Govt inasmuch as when persons have been arrested by the Madras magistracy & surrendered to the Mysore Govt in obedience to Mysore warrants, applications have in some cases at least been made to this Administration to defray the cost of maintenance and escort of the prisoners while in transit to the Mysore frontier. But recently an application was recd: from the Second Class Magt of Koompta in the North Canara Distt claiming the sum of Rs. 1-1-6, being

Extract from the diary of Sub Inspector Bheemsain Rao for 5th January '01.

While in court the K. G. F. Police proceeded before the special magt a man whom they had arrested with warrant issued by the Presidency magt Madras. The Special magt passed orders in writing that the man should be handed over to the Railway Police for prosecution before the Presidency magt: Court, Madras and, for which purpose he granted a remand till monday the 7th inst.

I asked the magt for money for travelling expenses, the magt declined to give.

As the man has to be produced before the magt on monday I will send him to madras under proper ~~escort~~ escort paying money for their travelling expenses.

The Permanent advance money is expended. I have now to pay money from my pay, I therefore beg that Rs. 10/- may be sent to me. I will submit my bill after the return of the man.

Sd/- K. Bheemsain Rao.
Sub-Inspector

Dy 5-1-1901.

Sir, The usual procedure is for magts to advance the charges for drainage of accused & escort. It is hard times for the Police to meet these charges from their pockets.

Initd/- E. R. Shaw
Inspector & Dt
6-1-1901

being the cost of maintenance of a prisoner, by name Jodi Aya, who had been arrested at Koomfla by a Koondapur Policeman under a warrant issued under the extradition Act by the Political Agent, Mysore, and conveyed to Koondapur in South Canara, to the Distt Magte of which the warrant had been addressed, and thence to the Mysore frontier. This amount has been directed to be paid in the absence of any agreement with the Madras Govt in the matter, but Mr. Gordon desires me to say that, while Mysore has undertaken to pay all charges connected with the rendition of criminals to Madras, it is but fair that the Madras Govt should bear the cost of maintenance and escort of prisoners arrested by their Police and surrendered to Mysore, at least in cases in which Mysore warrants are valid in British India, viz those in which warrants are issued under the provisions of the Extradition Act (Vide letter No. 84-J. dated 25th June 1878, from the Govt of India Foreign Deptt.

No. 2072 A

(Copy of correspondence communicated to all District Magistrates and the Superintendent of Police, for information & guidance.
Sd/ R. Davidson.
Chief Secretary)

No. 118.

To The Chief Commr of Mysore, dated Bolarum, 23rd August 1880, No. 2072.

In reply to your Secy's letter as per margin, No. 3734-967G. dated 17/8/80.

I am directed to state that instructions will be given for the payment of all charges connected with the rendition of criminals to Mysore, for whose arrest a warrant may have been issued by you without claim for any refund from the Govt of Mysore.

2. Such charges will be included by the Madras authorities concerned in their ordinary contingent bills. Sd/- R. Davidson
Ch: Secretary

945.

8602
6-2-01
1901
MYSORE

From The A. Resident in Mysore.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 709.97

SERIAL No. 6

To

THE SECT. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 5-2-1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 548
No.

Enclosure No.

Fowdg a letter in original No 805 dy 30/1/1901 from the Distt Supdt of Police, Chickballapur Station, Bangalore to the Dy. Commr. Kolar Distt requesting early reply to his letter No 753 of 12th instt &c.

References to be marked.

Respectfully
DMB
7/2/01

No. 10130 dy 7.2.01
1125
Forwarded for early com-
-pliance.

By order

7/2/01

L. Secretary

Letter No.3240/541 dated 15th February 1901 from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District to the District Superintendent of Police Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, through the Secretary to the Government of Mysore General and Revenue Departments.

Adverting to your letter No.763 of the 12th January 1901 received through the Secretary to the Government of Mysore General and Revenue Departments with his memo No.9244/J.1030 dated the 19th Idem, I have the honor to inform you that the procedure adopted by the Special Magistrate of the Kolar Gold Fields in handing over the criminal to the Railway Police is in accordance with the instructions laid down in letter No.3386-219-1900 dated the 14th July 1900 from the First Assistant to the Resident, to the Dewan of Mysore a copy of w hich is herein enclosed for your information. In pursuance of the above instruc-tions, the Civil and Military Station Railway police have to escort the prisoner only as far as the frontier of British India and there to hand him over to the escort of the British Police.

As regards the charges connected with the escort of such prisoners, no instructions are laid down in the said letter. Charges connected with such prisoners until they are handed over to the Civil and Military Station Railway Police will be borne by Mysore Government as heretofore.

A copy of the Special Magistrate's reply No.778 dated the 30th January 1901 is also herein enclosed.

Reply of the Special Magistrate Kolar Gold Fields.

Seen and returned with the remark that the undersigned would refer Mr. David to 1st Assistant Resident's letter No.

3386.219

3386.219 dated 14th July 1900 to the Dewan of Mysore and communicated to District Magistrate's in Mysore by Mysore Government Memo No.938/101 dated 21st July 1900. The procedure adopted by the undersigned was strictly in accordance with the instructions laid down therein and as regards the Railway charges the undersigned considers the proper Court for the Railway Police to recover them from is the Court issuing the warrant viz the Presidency Magistrate Madras.

Signed. R,B. Plumer.

Special Magistrate.

30-1-1901



Sub. & 2nd Cross

in appl.

R 27/4/01
1141

2644

28/2/1901.

The 1st Assistant to the Reside
in Mysore.

No 11673
Pol: 447 5/3/0

Sir,-

I am directed to forward for favor
of transmission to the District Super-
intendent of Police, C and I Station,
Bangalore, the accompanying letter No.
3240/541, dated the 15th instant, from
the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, to the
address of that Officer, stating that
the procedure adopted by the Special
Magistrate, Kolar Gold Fields, in handi
over the accused in question to the Ry
Police was in accordance with the istru
-tions laid down in your letter No.33
219-1900 dated the 14th July 1900, to
Dewan of Mysore. It was accordingly th
duty of the Railway Police to have esc
-corted the prisoner to the nearest
frontier station in British India and
there handed him over, to the relieving
escort of British Police. As regards
the cost, however, the Durbar will bear
the charges incurred in connection wit
the escort of the prisoner until he is
handed over at the frontier British St
-tion under the late Chief Commissione

Courts III 700 Sec 1.

Proceedings No. 8761-J.12 dated the 21st January 1879 and necessary instructions will be issued to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District in the matter.

2. I am to add that the question raised by the Bombay Government in their Secretary's letter to the Honorable the Resident No.7109 dated the 16th October 1899 forwarded with your Memo No. 547/388-97 dated the 31st January 1900 and replied to by my letter No. 9136/J.830 dated the 9th February 1900 does not appear to have been finally settled.

I have &,

Secretary.

11694
Bnv 3105 15/5/1907 Memo.

Copy to the Dy. Commr. Kolar District, who will arrange to defray the cost of escorting the prisoner up to the nearest frontier British Police Station as laid down in the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings therein referred to.

By Order,

28/2/07

Secretary.

Compd
Nil

mis: 3167

10159 J/384

12 mar: 01 1901

From The Deputy Commr.
Kolar D.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 709/99

SERIAL No. 7 Hiro

To The Asst. Police Supdt. Cd m. Sta. Kanpal

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 8th March 1901.

Despatched

Received

General No. 3476
No. 2728

Enclosure No.

In Virtue, attention this
No. 3740/541 of 14th ultio, Re
the Procedure adopted by
the Spl Magte K. G. Fiel
in handing over a
Prisoner to the Railway
Police —

References to be marked.

Please send the Proceedings
14/3/01 HD
J. 7 No. 1707/1899 Proceedings
DHB
7.573/01.

/Kolar District

Deputy Comrs. Office

8th March 1901.

From

F. C. C. Carr Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

To.

The District Supt. of Police

Civil & Military Station Bangalore

Through the Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Genl. & Rev: Dept.

Sir

With reference to Your letter No. 805 dated 30th January 1901, requesting an early reply to Your letter No. 753 dated 12th January 1901, regarding the procedure

procedure adopted by Special Magistrate, Kolar Gold Fields, in handing over a prisoner to the Railway Police, I have the honor to invite Your attention to my letter No. 3240/541 dated 14th Instant on the subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servant

(Sd) F. E. C. Carr
Deputy Commissioner

C. Book.
19/3/01 — "Office Copy"

SECTION Police

FILE No. [illegible]

SERIAL No. 2

The First Assistant to the
Resident in Mysore

No. 1245-D Sn: Nil
J 1293
Date: 19th March 1901.

Sir, In continuation of the office no 11673 Pl. 447 ^ dated the 6th instant, I am directed to forward herewith for favour of transmission to the District Superintendent of Police, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore the accompanying letter No. 3476/2728 dated the 8th instant from the Deputy Commissioner Kolar District, inviting the

Superintendent's attention to

(Sty. Commr's) Office No. 3240/541 × dated the

February 1901 on the procedure adopted by the Special Magistrate, Kolar Gold Fields in handing over a prisoner to the Railway Police

This letter was sent to the Residency along with the Secy. No. 11673/PR-647 dated 6th March.

SN

I have etc

Secretary.

SN
16/3/15

(General Series No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 12155 20-4-01.

SECTION Police

FILE No. P.A. 14 / 4

SERIAL No. 6

From The District Magistrate Shimoga

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore General and Revenue Dept.

Dated 17th April 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 895 } 190 D. G. D.

No. 82 14

Enclosures Number. Nil.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that in a case in which one Nagappa Gowda was arrested by Sirsi Police and remanded to the Sorab Taluk Lockup the Deputy Commissioner Sorab has requested that the amount incurred for feeding and escorting the accused may be reimbursed from the Dist. Magistrate of South Kanara and requested instructions on the subject.

I have &c.

Jog 124

23

Jud No. 179/1901 Dt.

20.4.01

Dy. Asst. Dist. Mag.

20/4/01

895
82

14
in K.b.

District Magistrate's Court
Shimoga
17th April 1901

To
The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General and Rev. Depts.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with Sagar Sub Division Magistrate's Yadi No. 485 dated 20th March 1901, I have the honour to state that one Nagappa Gowda was arrested by Sirsi Police Constable in Bankasana village of the Sorab Taluk on the 2nd November 1898 and was produced before the Sorab Amildar-Magistrate who remanded the accused to

Lingappa ? Gowda or Lingappa Gowda

Sonala Taluka Lockup attached he was kept till 10th Decem 1896, when on receipt of a warrant from the District Magistrate of North Kanara he was handed over to the custody of the Sirsi Police.

2. In forwarding a bill for Rs. 7-1-11 incurred by the State for the feeding and escorting the said accused from the date of his arrest till he was surrendered to the Sirsi Police of Bombay Presidency, the Sub-Divisional Magistrate requests that the amount may be removed from the District Magistrate of North Kanara as the Magistrates in the Bombay

Residency usually claim and
get paid by this State the
cost of feeding and es-
corting prisoners arrested and
rendered to this State in
pursuance of Warrant issued under
the authority of Government
order No 5455 Political
Department, Bombay Castle
dated 7th September 1896.

+ Colaba Jail and Gov. Treasury Police

The references available
in the District Office do not
show the existence of any
distinct understanding or
arrangement between the
Bombay Presidency and the
States similar to those
existing between this State
and the Madras Presidency
in the matter of adjustm

of cost of finding, escorting
& fees of accused persons
surrendered by one State
to the other and vice versa.
Under those circumstances
I request that the Government
may be pleased to recover
the amount of the bill in
question from the District
Magistrate of North Arcot
if it is possible to do so under
the existing authority on the
subject; otherwise I beg the
favour of clear instruction
on the subject.

I may state that it is
the Board's Government
should bear the cost
of finding and escorting
prisoners surrendered by
Native States to the Executive

Vide Chief Court's Pro: No. 4761-Jud. 12 dated 21st January 1879 & Govt Judl No. 37 of 16th August 1880 (Pro: Board for August 1880) Sl. No. 17

in the Bombay Presidency
in the same manner as
such charges are claimed
and recovered by the Magistrates
of Bombay Presidency when
ever accused persons are
surrendered by them to Native
States.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servt

T. Cummings
Dy District Magistrate
Shimoga.

Exe 1879

23/a

Extradition - Conveyance and maintenance charges - Relative to the working of the new system of - in cases.-

No 5455

Political Department

Bombay Castle 7th September 1896.

Resolution; - His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that the present system under which the expenses of extradition are debitable to the state making the demand for extradition, shall be permanently adopted.

2. The charges for the conveyance and maintenance of persons whose extradition has been demanded shall be debitable to the state whether such persons are or are not eventually surrendered in conveyance of such demand

Quaere

All charges for the transit and maintenance of stolen property or of live or dead stock in respect of which an offence is alleged to have been committed by the persons whose surrender is required should also be debited to the State demanding the surrender, provided that any live stock may in the absence of exceptional circumstances rendering such a course undesirable be made over to the owner thereof on his applying for its restoration before the offender is actually surrendered and on the owner furnishing proper security for the production thereof whenever and wherever its production is required. —

4 His Excellency in Council on a consideration of the various opinions of Officers consulted

consulted has come to the conclusion that a scale of fixed maintenance charges is impracticable. Charges for maintenance should therefore be accepted in each case where not clearly exorbitant.

I am
Sir
Your obedient Servant
(Sd) C. C. Boyd
District Magistrate
Kanara.

Kanwar
28th June 1898

Copy

[illegible]
Sub Divisional Officer
In charge

1/1916

SECTION.

FILE No. 2099/1901

SERIAL No. 10

No. 1428 & 30-5-1901
1588
Enc: 4

The 1st Assistant to
the Resident in mysore

Sir,

I am directed to
state that one ningappa
Gowda, who was accus-
-ed by the Sirsi Police
in Bankasara village
of the Sorab Taluk
on the 2nd november
1898, and remanded t
the Sorab Taluk Cour
-up by order of the a
~~Sorab~~ Amildar magte.
was on the 10th Decemb
1898, handed over to

the

the custody of the Sirsi Police on receipt of a warrant from the Dt. magte. of North Kana ra.

2. The District magte. of Shimoga in forwarding a bill for Rs. 7-1-0, received from the Sagar Sub-Division officer, on account of the charges incurred in feeding and escorting the said accused from the date of his arrest till he was surrendered to the Sirsi Police, requests that

the amount of the bill in
question may be recovered
from the Dt magistrate
of North Kanara in the
same manner as the
magistrates in the Bom-
bay Presidency recover
from this State simila
charges incurred by
them in connection
with prisoners sur
rendered to this State
provision
The ~~authority~~ for
such receipts made by the Bombay
~~the claim made in the~~
authorities
~~latter case~~ is stated
Contained in
to be the order of the
Bombay Govt No 5745
Political Department

dated the 7th September
1896, a copy of which is
appended for reference.
3. As between the
Mysore and Madras
Governments, the pro-
cedure in such cases
is regulated by para
2 of the Mysore Chief
Commr's notification:
No 8761-g 12. dated the
21st January 1879 *
the Madras Govt's
letter ** to the Chief Com-
missioner No 2072
dated the 23rd August
1880, (this enclosed)
according to which
all feeding and escorting

* Printed with

** vide last-page of ✗ no 17.

charges are borne by
the State complying
with the demand fo
surrender. There ha
been no such mutua
arrangement with
the Bombay Govt;
and as these charge
are usually claimed
and recovered by e
magistrates in the
Bombay Presidency
whenever accused
persons are sur-
rendered by them
to native States,

wire to say that the Durbar consider it only fair that the Bombay Govt should on its part bear the cost of feeding and ~~es~~ contingencies incurred in respect of ~~prisoners~~ prisoners surrendered by this State to magistrates in Bombay Districts. The Durbar would accordingly feel obliged by your kindly moving the Hon'ble the Resident to address

helps an arrangement similar to what is in practice with the Madras Govt can be effected and which commends itself as being both fair and convenient can be effected

the Dt magte of South Kanara with a view to ~~remitting~~ the amount of the bill* being received and sent to the Dt magte of Shimoga.

I have the

herewith forwarded in original)

24.V.04

Secy:

J 1377

14169

4-6-01. 1901

Col 920

SECTION Police

FILE No. 1.0.21

SERIAL No. 11

From The Resident Mysore

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 31. 5. 01

Despatched

Received

General No. 2719
No.

Enclosure No.

Forwarding a copy of the Resolution of the Bombay Govt regarding the payment of fares of police escorts proceeding & otherwise with prisoners or treasure

References to be marked.

J.7 No. 17 of 1899-1900.

put up. DMB
6/6/01

Submitted for order
Read correspondence
and communicate
the Resolution & its accompaniments to the Inspr-Genl of Police & all Dists.
for information
& action.

Adoption in this Presidency of the practice for the payment of fares of Police escorts sent from one Province to another up to the destination of their charge.

No. 8625.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 22nd December 1900.

Read:—

Resolution of Government, No. 3205, dated the 6th May 1899.

Letter from the Inspector-General of Police, No. 5046, dated the 10th June 1899.

Memorandum from the Inspector-General of Police, No. 8345, dated the 24th August 1899.

Letter to the Governments of Madras, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and the Punjab, the Agent to the Governor-General, Central India, the Agent to the Governor-General, Rájputána, the Chief Commissioner, Central Provinces, the Resident in Mysore and the Resident at Hyderabad, No. 7107, dated the 16th October 1899.

Letter from the Judicial and General Secretary to the Government of the Punjab, No. 250, dated the 23rd November 1899:—

"In reply to your letter No. 7109, dated the 16th October 1899, relative to the question of the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure, I am directed to say, for the information of His Excellency the Governor, that the Lieutenant-Governor accepts the proposal that the fares of Police escorts sent from one Province to another should be paid up to the destination of their charge and not only up to the station at which the escort is to be relieved, the Railway tickets being made over to the relieving escort at the relieving station. The necessary orders will be issued to the Inspector-General of Police."

Letter from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, No. 2015 (Judicial), dated the 12th December 1899:—

"I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 7109, dated the 16th October 1899, forwarding a copy of the draft rules submitted by the Inspector-General of Police, Bombay Presidency, on the subject of the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure, and enquiring whether this Government is willing to accept the principle underlying those rules and to give reciprocity in the matter.

"2. In reply, I am to enclose a copy of a communication on the subject received from the Inspector-General of Police, Madras, and to answer the enquiry of the Bombay Government in the affirmative."

Letter from the Inspector-General of Police, Madras, to the Government of Madras, No. 4944, dated the 28th November 1899:—

"In reply to Mr. Knapp's endorsement No. 2676, dated the 25th October 1899, on the papers herewith returned, I have the honour to state that I have no objection to the adoption of the proposed rules regarding the payment of railway fares of Police escorts for prisoners or treasure up to the destination of their charge whether it is within or beyond the Presidency and of return fares for each escort from the station where they are relieved.

"2. During the past two years during which an arrangement for the relief of escorts from this Presidency at Sholápur in the Bombay Presidency has been in force it has been the practice to provide the escort with tickets to Sholápur and back, the relieving party from Bombay obtaining tickets from Sholápur to the next station of relief. When the relieving party is not of the same strength but less, there may be a loss to Government by the proposed arrangement of purchasing tickets in the first instance up to the desti-

nation, but the Inspector-General of Police, Bombay, to whom a reference was made inviting his attention to this point, considers that the proposed arrangement is more convenient than purchasing tickets at every station where a relief takes place and that it is desirable to secure uniformity of procedure with the system obtaining in other Presidencies. The escort party will ordinarily be of the same strength throughout but the rank of the officer in charge may differ. An Inspector goes in charge of an escort from this Presidency for remittances of treasure of over a lakh of rupees, but the relieving officer at Sholápur appears to be of a lower rank. The difference in railway fare which may arise from this cause may, if any, be very little, and I therefore offer no objection to the proposed arrangement.

"3. Escorts from the Bombay Presidency are relieved by the Police of this Presidency at Bellary on the Southern Maratha Railway and Guntakal on the Madras Railway."

Letter from the Secretary to Government, North-Western Provinces and Oudh, No. 1050-VIII—903A, dated the 15th December 1899 :—

"I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 7109 of the 16th October 1899, forwarding a copy of draft rules framed by the Inspector-General of Police, Bombay Presidency, on the subject of the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure, and enquiring whether this Government is willing to accept the principle underlying the rules and whether the Lieutenant-Governor and Chief Commissioner will give reciprocity in the matter.

"2. In reply, I am to say that the principle of paying railway fares up to destination irrespective of provincial limits for the guards of these Provinces was approved by this Government in arrangements sanctioned in 1897, and that these arrangements are reciprocated by the Governments of Bengal and the Punjáb with regard to their guards. His Honour sees no objection in giving reciprocity to similar arrangements to the Police of the Bombay Presidency in conjunction with the Inspector-General of Police, Central Provinces."

Letter from the Chief Secretary to the Chief Commissioner, Central Provinces, No. 10356, dated the 18th December 1899 :—

"I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 7109, dated the 16th October last, forwarding a copy of draft rules submitted by the Inspector-General of Police, Bombay Presidency, on the subject of the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure.

"2. In reply, I am to say that the Officiating Chief Commissioner accepts the principle stated in your letter, *viz.*, that the fares of Police escorts sent from one Province to another should be paid up to the destination of their charge and not only up to the station at which the escort is to be relieved, the railway tickets being made over to the relieving escort at the relieving station. Mr. Fraser, I am to add, is willing to give reciprocity in the matter."

Letter from the Agent to the Governor-General, Rájputána, and Chief Commissioner, Ajmere-Merwara, No. 115-c., dated the 2nd February 1900 :—

"I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of Mr. Edgerley's letter No. 7109, dated the 16th October 1899, forwarding a copy of draft rules prepared by the Inspector-General of Police, Bombay Presidency, for regulating the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure.

"2. In reply, I have the honour to forward a copy of letter No. 128—1-37, dated —— January 1900, from the Commissioner, Ajmere-Merwara, from which it will be seen that Police escorts are not generally employed in those districts for the purposes specified. Guards are usually furnished by the Merwara Battalion and travel on Railway Warrants issued by their Commanding Officer under the provisions of the India Army Regulations.

"3. Should, however, Police escorts be employed, their fares will be paid up to the destination of their charge in the Bombay Presidency, and so far I am prepared to accept the principle underlying the draft rules, and to give reciprocity."

Letter from the Commissioner, Ajmere-Merwara, to the First Assistant to the Governor-General's Agent, Rajputána, and Chief Commissioner, Ajmere-Merwara, No. 128—1-37, dated ——January 1900 :—

"I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your endorsement No. 5061 of the 31st October 1899, inviting my opinion on the draft rules proposed by the Inspector-General of Police, Bombay Presidency, for the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure.

"2. In reply, I have the honour to state that Police escorts are not generally employed in these districts for the purposes specified: the Merwara Battalion furnishes guards to escort prisoners and treasure to other Provinces. The guards do not buy railway tickets, but travel under Railway Warrants issued by their Officer Commanding under the provisions of the India Army Regulations. Under these circumstances it will be difficult to adopt the principle of reciprocity as proposed by the Bombay Government."

from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bengal, No. 888-J., dated the 14th bruary 1900:—

"I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 7109, dated the 16th October 1899, asking for the opinion of this Government on a proposal made by the Inspector-General of Police, Bombay, that when prisoners or treasure are sent from Bombay to other Provinces the railway fares of the Police escort proceeding with the prisoners or treasure should be paid by the Superintendent of Police of the despatching district up to the destination of the charge.

"2. In reply, I am to say that the system described above has been in force between Bengal and the North-Western Provinces, and that the Lieutenant-Governor is quite willing that it should apply also in the case of escorts between Bengal and Bombay. The conveyance of prisoners from the one Province to the other is, however, very rare."

from the Resident in Mysore, No. 1821—388—97, dated the 17th April 1900:—

"I have the honour to reply to your letter No. 7109, dated the 16th October 1899, and to express my regret that the necessity for constant references to the Darbar and other officers have caused so long a delay.

"2. The Mysore Darbar have expressed their willingness to accept the principle underlying the rules received with your letter under reply and agree to give reciprocity in the working of the same; but as will be seen from the enclosed extract from their letter, dated the 9th February 1900, the Mysore State is, from the nature of the case, practically unconcerned in the matter.

"3. The question mainly affects the Police Force of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, from which the requirements of the 'Mysore Railway Police' are met. Enquiry shows that our Police have never, within recent years, been called upon to escort treasure consigned to the Bombay Presidency. The principle, however, applies equally to prisoners.

"4. Under the existing system the Mysore Railway Police furnish an escort as far as Harihar, where the prisoner is made over to the charge of the Bombay Police. The Railway authorities issue free passes to the escort all over the Mysore State Railway, and the cost to this administration is consequently only the amount of the prisoner's fare.

"5. From this it will be observed that, unless the Bombay Government can undertake to secure similar free passage for Police escorts over the Railways within their jurisdiction, the arrangement proposed does not promise to be advantageous to the administration of the Civil and Military Station."

t from letter No. 9136-J.—830, dated the 9th February 1900, from the Secretary to e Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, to the First Assistant the Resident in Mysore:—

"With reference to your memorandum No. 547—388—97, dated the 31st January 1900, forwarding for opinion copy of letter No. 7109, dated the 16th October 1899, from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Judicial Department, together with a copy of draft rules submitted by the Inspector-General of Police, Bombay Presidency, on the subject of the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure, I am directed to state that the Darbar are willing to accept the principle underlying the rules in question, *viz.*, that the fares of Police escorts sent from one Province to another should be paid up to the destination of their charge and not only up to the station at which the escort is to be relieved. While agreeing to give reciprocity in the matter, the Darbar desire to add that the rules, in so far as the escort of treasure is concerned, are inapplicable to the Mysore State which does not send any treasure to the Bombay Presidency or get any from it, and that as regards the relief of escorts or guards of prisoners through the Railway Head Constable at Harihar, the jurisdiction over the said Railway Station and the authority over the Police thereat vest in the Resident and not in the Darbar. * * *"

tter from the Resident at Hyderabad, No. 3174, dated the 7th July 1900 :—

"I have the honour to refer to correspondence ending with your letter No. 4401, dated the 25th June 1900, regarding the railway fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure.

"2. In reply, I have to say that His Highness' Minister who was addressed in the matter, has replied that His Highness' Government have, after due consideration of the rules forwarded with your letter No. 7109, dated the 16th October 1899, decided not to adopt them as they are in no way beneficial to His Highness' Government."

tter from the Agent to the Governor-General in Central India, No. 7900, dated the 21st July 1900 :—

"I have the honour to refer to Captain Le Mesurier's letter No. 7255, dated the 5th July 1900, and previous correspondence on the subject of the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure.

"2. A reference to Political officers has shown that the number of extradition cases is not very large and that the existing system works without inconvenience. Any alteration in it would require the consent of numerous States, to obtain which would require an amount of correspondence which appears to me out of proportion to the advantage to be obtained. Unless, therefore, His Excellency the Governor in Council has any strong reason for wishing the alterations recommended by the Inspector-General of Police to be applied to the case of extradition to and from Central India, I would prefer to leave matters as they are."

ter from the District Superintendent of Police, Poona, to the Commissioner, C. D., No. A.—872, dated the 17th November 1899 :—

"I have the honour to bring to your notice a very practical difficulty I experience in this district in observing the instructions contained in your No. P.—2178, dated the 5th August 1897, leading as it does to inconvenience and correspondence with the Accountant General and the Railway authorities.

"2. The difficulty is this. In many instances consignments of treasure pass through Poona by rail to Bombay starting from various districts in this Presidency and sometimes from other Presidencies also.

"3. The treasure escort is relieved in Poona.

"4. Frequently in such cases the escorts at the starting station do not obtain the free passes to which they are entitled according to the weight of the treasure and then the Railway authorities in Poona decline to issue free passes to the Poona Police escort, on the ground that any free passes due should have been issued at the starting station.

"5. The Poona Police escort then being unable to obtain any free passes, the fares for the whole escort have to be paid from my permanent advance.

"6. After the bills are submitted and the money recouped, the Accountant General objects to so much of the charges as should have been covered by free passes and directs its recovery from the rent bill I may have to present at the Treasury and I have no remedy.

"7. It seems to me that either—

(*a*) the Railway authorities should be instructed to issue to relieving escorts transferable free passes at intermediate stations when these have not been claimed at the starting stations;

(*b*) that in such cases the Accountant General should be requested to waive his account objection; or

(*c*) that steps be taken which will ensure that not only in this Presidency but in others also the Police escorts at the starting stations should without fail obtain and pass on to relieving escorts the free passes to which the treasure consignment is entitled.

"8. (*b*) It seems to me to be the simplest alternative, but whichever meets with your approval, I would respectfully request that early steps be taken to remove the difficulty which I have ventured to bring to your notice."

Letter from the Commissioner, C. D., to the District Superintendent of Police, Poona, No. P.—374, dated the 1st February 1900 :—

"In reply to your letter No. A.—872, dated the 17th November last, I have the honour to forward a copy of the Accountant General's letter, No. T.A.M.—2021, dated the 13th December last, together with a copy of Government Resolution* quoted therein, and to state that the course proposed by the Accountant General appears to me to be the best solution of the difficulty pointed out by you. I, therefore, request that you will be so good as to refer the matter to the Inspector-General of Police, so that if he approves of the proposal he may address the Inspector-General of other Provinces on the subject."

Letter from the Accountant General to the Commissioner, C. D., No. T.A.I.M.—2021, dated the 13th December 1899 :—

"With reference to your endorsement No. P.—2851, dated the 24th of November 1899, forwarding a copy of letter No. A.—872, dated the 17th November 1899, from the District Superintendent of Police, Poona, on the subject of free passes to be obtained from Railway Companies for the Police escorting treasure consignment by rail, I have the honour to state that remedy (*b*) cannot be allowed, and remedy (*a*) is one for the settlement of the Police Department with the Railway authorities, but there appears to be no reason why the Police escort at the starting station should not obtain the free passes admissible under the rules, if Police officers are made aware through the Inspector-General of Police of their respective Presidencies of the concession in question. The latter, therefore, appears to me to be the true solution of the difficulty, the free passes being obtained up to their ultimate destination, as is done in the case of the railway fares of prisoners' escorts. See Government Resolution, Judicial Department, No. 3205, dated the 6th May 1899."

Memorandum from the District Superintendent of Police, Poona, to the Commissioner, C. D., No. 1249, dated the 11th February 1900 :—

"True copies forwarded to the Inspector-General of Police for favour of early action to remove the difficulty complained of."

Memorandum from the Inspector-General of Police, No. 3207, dated the 10th March 1900 :—

"Submitted to Government for favour of orders with reference to the correspondence ending with this office No. 8345, dated the 24th August last.

"2. Rule 57 (6) on page 96 of the G. I. P. Railway Guide for January 1900 sanctions free passes being granted to escorts conveying treasure. If these free passes can be made transferable, the matter of payment of railways fares to estorts of treasure will be facilitated, provided the concession granted by the G. I. P. Railway authorities is obtainable on other Railways in India."

Endorsement (below memorandum from the Inspector-General of Police, No. 3207, dated the 10th March 1900, and accompaniments) to the Public Works Department (Railway), No. 2391, dated the 3rd April 1900 :—

"Forwarded to the Public Works Department (Railway) for consideration with reference to Government Resolution No. 3205, dated the 6th May 1899. A copy of the draft rules which it is proposed to issue on the subject matter of the above Resolution is appended."

Memorandum from the Public Works Department (Railway), No. 1818, dated the 25th July 1900 :—

"Returned to the Judicial Department of the Secretariat with an intimation that all the Railways under the control of this Government have agreed to the passes to escorts in charge of treasure being made transferable.

"2. A copy of the replies from the various Railways is attached hereto."

Letter No. 2600, dated the 14th June 1900, from the Manager and Executive Engineer, Morvi —Railway, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Bombay :—

"In reply to your No. 3266, dated 1st instant, forwarding copy of No. 3207, dated 10th March 1900, from the Inspector-General of Police, I have the honour to inform you that this Railway Administration is prepared to issue free passes for the free tickets to escorts conveying treasure and make them transferable if connected Lines agree.

---

* Not printed.

ter from the General Traffic Manager, G. I. P. Railway, to the Agent, G. I. P. Railway, No. c.g.—17761, dated the 15th June 1900 :—

"Your memorandum No. c.-122—7340 of 5th June 1900.

"I beg to inform you that the present practice is to issue free tickets for escorts travelling in charge of treasure, and credit is allowed by quoting the numbers of the tickets so issued on the way bill on which the treasure is booked. These tickets are issued through to destination, and if the escort is relieved on the road, the tickets are handed over to the relieving escort, which appears to meet what the Inspector-General of Police suggests."

lorsement by the Secretary to the Agent, G. I. P. Railway, No. c.-122—7977, dated the 20th June 1900 :—

"Copy of letter No. c.g.-1776—1 of the 15th instant, from the General Traffic Manager forwarded to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Bombay, with reference to his No. 3262, dated the 1st idem."

ter No. 5941-T., dated the 21st June 1900, from the Agent, B. B. & C. I. Railway Company, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Bombay :—

"In reply to your memorandum No. 3263, dated the 1st June 1900, I have the honour to inform you that it is the practice on this Railway to issue third class blank return tickets to escorts accompanying treasure who are entitled to travel free under the concession laid down in paragraph 205 of this Company's current coaching Tariff. Ordinary third class tickets are issued to men not entitled to free conveyance.

"2. There is no objection to these tickets being made transferable to the relieving Police escorts *en route*."

ter No. 625—5069, dated the 25th June 1900, from the Agent, S. M. Railway Company, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Bombay :—

"With reference to your No. 3264, dated the 1st June 1900, I have the honour to inform you that passes to treasure escorts are granted under certain conditions on this Railway (see Section 153, page 45, of the current Guide) and that I have no objection to such passes being made transferrable."

ter No. 984, dated the 18th July 1900, from the Acting Agent, Barsi Light Railway Company, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Bombay :—

"In reply to your No. 3267 of 1st June 1900, I have the honour to state I agree to issue free passes to Police escorts in charge of treasure and make them transferable."

ter No. 4912—7-(22), dated the 16th July 1900, from the Officiating Manager, B.-G.-J.-P. Railway, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, Bombay :—

"With reference to your endorsement No. 3265, dated the 1st June 1900, forwarding a copy of Inspector-General of Police's No. 3207, dated the 10th March 1900 (Judicial Department), I have the honour to state that this Railway has no objection to free passes granted to escorts conveying treasure in terms of Rule 57 (6) of the G. I. P. Railway Guide for January 1900 being made transferable."

ter to the Commissioner in Sind, No. 5876, dated the 28th August 1900 :—

"I am directed to forward herewith copy of draft rules submitted by the Inspector-General of Police on the subject of the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure. These rules are based upon the practice which, it is understood, prevails in Bengal and the North-Western Provinces, *viz.*, that the fares of Police escorts sent from one Province to another should be paid up to the destination of their charge and not only up to the station at which the escort is to be relieved, the railway tickets being made over to the relieving escort at the relieving station. The Government of the Punjab, to whom a reference was made, *inter alia* accepts the proposal. As escorts may have to proceed from Sind to the Punjab and *vice versa*, I am to enquire whether you have any remarks to offer on the proposed rules."

morandum from the Commissioner in Sind, No. 2507, dated the 29th September 1900 :—

"The Commissioner in Sind presents compliments, and in reply to Mr. Under Secretary Lord's letter No. 5876 of the 28th ultimo, has the honour to state that he sees no objection to the proposal that the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces from Sind with prisoners or treasure should be paid up to the destination of their charge. No relieving stations are required, as the escorts in Sind are not relieved anywhere but go right through."

RESOLUTION.—The rules attached to this Resolution are sanctioned for adoption in regard to transactions between Madras, Bengal, the Punjab, the North-Western Provinces, the Central Provinces and this Presidency. In the case of Rájputána and Ajmere-Merwára they may be followed to the extent indicated in letter No. 145-C. of the 2nd February 1900, from the Agent to the Governor General, Rájputána, and Chief Commissioner, Ajmere-Merwára.

(Signed) R. J. C. LORD,
Under Secretary to Government.

The Commissioner in Sind,
The Commissioner, N. D.,
The Commissioner, C. D.,
The Commissioner, S. D.,
The Inspector-General of Police,
All District Magistrates,
The Commissioner of Police, Bombay,
All Superintendents of Police,
The Inspector-General of Prisons,
The Accountant General,
The Financial Department,
The Public Works Department (Railway),
The Political Department,
The Government of Madras,
The Government of Bengal,
The Government of the Punjab,
The Government of the N.-W. Provinces and Oudh,
The Chief Commissioner, Central Provinces,
The Agent to the Governor-General, Rájputána and Chief Commissioner, Ajmere-Merwára.
} By letter.

No. of 1900.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to

J 1410
Pol. 142

OFFICE OF THE
14557
13-6-01. 1901
RESIDENT OF MYSORE

From The 1st Asst to Resdt

(27)

SECTION 1.100

FILE No. 71

SER'AL No.

To The Dewan

THE SECY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 6th June 1901.

Despatched

Received 14/6/01

General No. 2817.
No.

Enclosure No.

Asking for explanation in certain
re the charges of Rs 7-1-
sought by the Durbar to be
recovered from Bombay
authorities in Connection
with the Conveyance &
maintenance of accused
persons surrendered to the
Mysore State in 1898

References to be marked.

Jud J. 7 No. 177 1899.
perhaps. DMB
14/6/01

FROM C.L.S. Russell Esquire, I.C.S.
~~THE~~ FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 6th June 1901.

SIR,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your Secretary's letter No.15928/J.1588 (SL No 25) dated the 30th May 1901 on the subject of the conveyance and maintenance charges of accused persons surrendered to the Mysore State by the Bombay authorities and vice versa.

2. The charges of Rs.7-1-0 which it is now sought to recover were incurred so far back as 1898. The Resident will be glad to have an explanation as to the delay that has occurred in presenting the demand. If any correspondence on the matter has passed between any officers of the Durbar and of the Bombay Government, I am to request that copies may be kindly forwarded.

3. With regard to the statement made in paragraph 2 of your letter as to the practice of the Bombay Courts in recovering similar charges from the Durbar, I am to ask that

a list of the cases in which such demands have been made and applied for during the last three years may be kindly furnished. If in any case similar demands have been made by the Durbar direct to Magistrates in the Bombay Presidency, a list of such cases should also be furnished with information as to the result in each case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

L. Russell.

First Assistant Resident.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 709/99

SERIAL No. 13

Here enter letter No. 281

dated the 6th June 1901 from t

1st Assistant to the Resident

in Mysore]

No 1676 / 1665 dt 17-6-01.
Encl 1 file

Copy of the above is fo
warded to the District Magi
-trate of Shimoga for favor
of very early report ~~on the~~ on
~~Several points~~ submissio
of information called for
therein.

A copy of this office
letter No- 1592 / 1588 dated the
30th May 1901 addressed t
the Residency is ~~also~~ for-

44
handed for reference, as also
e Vernacular papers (except
bill) received with his
tter No- 895/82 dated the 17th April
101, which should be returned
hen done with.

By order

Secretary

14/6/01

V
14/6/01

Note

On letter to the Regd-
of 30-5-01 was based on
representation made by
the Dr Magh-ey Shimoga.
We have none of the information
now called for; hence the
above requisition to the
Dr Magh-ey.

14/6/01

SECTION Police

FILE No. 100/99 4 (1)

SERIAL No. 11

Read the following papers:—

(1) Letter No. 547/388-97 dated the 31st January 1900 from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, forwarding for the opinion of the Durbar, a copy of the following letter from the Secretary, Govt. Dept, Bombay Govt. No. 7109 dated the 18th Oct. 1900 ~~Subject of the payment of fares of Police Escorts proceeding to other provinces with prisoners or treasure~~ [In calendar, omitting draft Rules]

(2) Letter No. 9136/9830 dated the 9th Mrs Price February 1900 to the 1st Asstt to the Resident.

(Print in Extenso).

(3) Memo No. 2719 dated the 31st May 1901 from the First Asstt to the Resident in Mysore, forwarding a copy of the Resolution of the Govt of Bombay No. 8025 dated

22nd December 1900.

[Here enter ~~Resolution~~ Resolution (portion marked

and the Rules.]

der thereon. No. 17020-298 21-6-01.
J1689

The foregoing papers are
mmunicated to the Inspector
neral of Police and ~~the~~ all District
spectables for information.

Noted is due.
18/6/01
Pro Chief Secretary 21-6-01

~~Recorder~~

18-6-01

Secretary

To
The Deputy Commissioner
All Dt Magistrates
The Commissioner

1900-1901

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 21st June 1901

No. 17020-2[illegible]
J. 1689

Communicating to the Inspector General of Police and all District Magistrates, the rules issued by the Bombay Government *re* the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure.

SBP—26-6-1901—30(

READ THE FOLLOWING PAPERS—

Letter No. 547—388-97, dated the 31st January 1900, from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, forwarding for the opinion of the Durbar, a copy of the following letter from the Secretary, Judicial Department, Bombay Government, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, No. 7109, dated the 16th October 1900 :—

I am directed to forward for your information, copy of draft-rules submitted by the Inspector General of Police, Bombay Presidency, on the subject of the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure. It will be seen that it is proposed to adopt in this Presidency the practice which, it is understood, prevails in Bengal and the North-West Provinces, *viz.*, that the fares of Police escorts sent from one Province to another should be paid up to the destination of their charge and not only up to the station at which the escort is to be relieved, the railway tickets being made over to the relieving escort at the relieving station. Before, however, finally adopting this practice, the Governor of Bombay in Council, I am to say, would be glad to be informed whether the Government of Mysore are willing to accept the principle underlying the rules framed by the Inspector General of Police and whether they will give reciprocity in the matter.

II. This office letter No. 9136—J. 830, dated the 9th February 1900, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore :—

With reference to your Memo No. 547—388-97, dated the 31st January 1900, forwarding for opinion copy of letter No. 7109, dated the 16th October 1899, from the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Judicial Department, together with a copy of draft rules submitted by the Inspector General of Police, Bombay Presidency, on the subject of the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure, I am directed to state that the Durbar are willing to accept the principle underlying the rules in question, *viz.*, that the fares of Police escorts sent from one Province to another should be paid up to the destination of their charge and not only up to the station at which the escort is to be relieved. While agreeing to give reciprocity in the matter, the Durbar desire to add that the rules in so far as the escort of treasure is concerned are inapplicable to the Mysore State which does not send any treasure to the Bombay Presidency or get any from it, and that as regards the relieving of escorts or guards of prisoners through Railway Head Constable at Harihar, the jurisdiction over the said Railway station and the authority over the Police thereat vest in the Resident and not in the Durbar. If the Durbar Police should furnish the escort required, Davangere which is only nine miles from Harihar and which is the head-quarters of a taluk, would be more suitable as a relieving station than Harihar which is only a sub-taluk where a sufficient number of Policemen may not always be available. In any case two days' previous notice will have to be given to the Superintendent or Head of the Taluk Police to arrange for the relief.

III. Memo No. 2719, dated the 31st May 1901, from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, forwarding a copy of the Resolution of the Government of Bombay No. 8625, dated the 22nd December 1900 :—

Resolution.—The rules attached to this Resolution are sanctioned for adoption in regard to transactions between Madras, Bengal, the Punjab, the North-Western Provinces, the Central Provinces and this Presidency. In the case of Rajputana and Ajmere-Merwara, they may be followed to the extent indicated in letter No. 145-C of the 2nd February 1900, from the Agent to the Governor General, Rajputana, and Chief Commissioner, Ajmere-Merwara.

ACCOMPANIMENT TO GOVERNMENT RESOLUTION NO. 8625, DATED THE 22ND DECEMBER 1900.

Draft Rules regarding the payment of fares of Police escorts proceeding to other Provinces with prisoners or treasure.

1. Railway fares of Police parties escorting either prisoners or treasure should be paid by the Superintendent of Police of the despatching district up to the destination of the charge, whether it is within or without the Bombay Presidency, the expenditure being entered in his contingent bill like other ordinary Police charges of his district. The tickets issued to the original escort should be handed over to each relieving escort at the relieving station. The railway fares for the return journey of each escort should be paid before starting by the district to which the escort belongs, the charge being treated as one incurred in that district. Arrangements have been made with the Railway authorities to permit Railway tickets of escorts in charge of prisoners and treasure to be handed over to the relieving escort at the relieving station.

Judicial, dated 21st June 1901.

2. The following rules should be observed in the case of relief of escorts and guards for prisoners and treasure when proceeding through and beyond the limits of the Bombay Presidency :—

(1) A.—The relieving stations in the Presidency will be as under :—

Bhusaval.	Belgaum.
Ahmednagar.	Dharwar.
Sholapur.	Thana.
Poona.	Ahmedabad.

B.—Outside the Presidency the relieving stations are as follows :—

Khandwa.	Guntakal Junction.
Nagpur.	Bellary.
Wadi	Harihar.

(2) A telegraphic notice will ordinarily be received by the Head-quarters or other Chief Constable concerned of the date and hour on which an escort for prisoners or treasure requiring relief will arrive, and it will be his duty to arrange for the relief of such escort on arrival, and at the same time to give notice by wire to the officer in charge of the next relieving station of the date and hour when the escort may be expected.

(3) Police Superintendents of Districts in the Presidency where relieving stations are fixed should note the relieving stations beyond the limit of the Presidency as the Bhusaval escort will have to be relieved at Khandwa and Nagpur as the case may be, the Sholapur escort at Wadi and the Dharwar escort at Bellary and Guntakal in the Madras Presidency, and at Harihar in the jurisdiction of the Resident, Mysore.

(4) Timely notice by wire of the relief required at Khandwa and Nagpur should be given to the Head-quarters Inspectors at those places. The Head Constable, Railway Police, Wadi Junction, should be similarly instructed to arrange for the relief of escort, at least two days' previous notice being given of the arrival of prisoners or treasure at Wadi. At Bellary, the Superintendent of Police, Bellary District, should be informed by wire, and the Superintendent of Police, Anantapur, similarly advised for the relief required at Guntakal. Requisitions for relief required at Harihar should be made in due time by wire to the Railway Head Constable at that station.

(5) The telegraphic requisition for relief should also state the strength of escort required.

No. 17020-29—J. 1689, DATED BANGALORE, 21ST JUNE 1901.

ORDER THEREON.—The foregoing papers are communicated to the Inspector General of Police and to all District Magistrates, for information.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
All District Magistrates.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd.—C.V.

(General Serial No. 30.) 75

4-7-01.

1901. 1902

OF MY

SECTION J. Ass

FILE No. 1 1991

SERIAL No. 1r

From The District Magistrate Shemoga

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore G.I.s Rev. Depts

Dated 2nd } July 1901.
Despatched
Received

General No. 9 ____ } 190 .
No. 1

Enclosures Number. 3 & K.

With reference to his memo no 16760/1665 dated 17th June 1901, submit report on the subject of the conveyance and maintenance charges of accused persons surrendered to the Mysore State by the Bombay authorities and Vice Versa.

Encl 4 7. 70

J. 7 No 1799/8
c900 pieces
WB 617/01

District Magistrate's Court.

Shemoga, dated 2nd July 1901

No. 1/1 re 3 & 7 K.L.

To,

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to your memo No. 16760-2 / P. 1665, dated 17th June 1901, forwarding copy of letter no 2817 dated 6th June 1901, from the 1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore and calling for a report on the several points referred to therein, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. The charges in question were incurred in November 1898 by the Taluk authorities, but first intimation of the same was not received in this office, till November 1899.

A

A bill was then called for and it was received in February 1900. The matter hung fire in my office until March 1901, when further correspondence ensued with the Sub division magistrate of Sagar which resulted in my making the present reference to Government. All this will be apparent from the Vernacular record herewith submitted. The delay in my office appears to have been due to a misapprehension that no charge was properly leviable as a recipiency of understanding might exist between the Bombay Government, as was the case between this state and the Madras Government. Such a misapprehension was probably due to the fact that no demand was ever made for the cost of feeding

and

and conveying prisoners as between this District and the Dharwar Collectorate in the few instances in which accused persons were surrendered by this State by the Bombay authorities and Vice Versa.

3. In the matter of the present claim, no correspondence has taken place between the Offices of the Bombay Government and this office, but I enclose copies of certain other correspondence as per margin, which took place between this office and the District Magistrate of North Kanara, with regard to a similar claim made by the latter officer in 1898.

4. As regards the information called for in para (3) of the First Assistant Resident's letter,

I

x Letter No. 3346/6865 dt. 20.6.1898 from the Assistant Superintendent, North Kanara No. 3918 of 11.5 August 1898 from the District Magistrate of North Kanara.

Letter No. 363 dated 17.8.98 from the Assistant Superintendent of Chitaldrug

I beg to state that during the last three years, I can only trace one case in which the District Magistrate of Bombay (North Kanara) demanded and recovered from this State two items of Rs 5-3-11-6 and Rs 3-15-9 (Vouchers submitted herewith for reference and kind return when no longer required), being the feeding and conveyance charges of 9 accused persons who were surrendered by the District Magistrate of North Kanara to this State, as being "concerned in the dacoities that took place in 1898 near Ukalgal in the Sagar Taluk. I am unable to quote a single instance in which a demand on account of similar charges was made by this office on the Bombay authorities or complied with by them.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K P Krishnamachetty

District Magistrate

of Shemoga.

1898. 1899

Cne 615

From The District Magistrate
Shemoga

To The District Magistrate
of North Kanara.

Dated 20th June 1898

No. 3348/685 & 1 file.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith for reference and record relative the correspondence ending with the Sagar Sub division 1st Class Magistrate's Yadi No 678 of 9th instant, Submitting a bill for Rs 3-15-9 being the Expenses incurred in surrendering certain prisoners who were concerned in dacoity committed at Uralgal in the Sagar Taluk, I have the honour to state that in many cases

cases in which persons have been surrendered by the British Police hitherto no such claim has been made hitherto. It was understood that the cost would be borne by the British Police department.

2. I beg to be informed therefore, whether the claim now made is founded on any recent order of Government. I shall feel obliged if you would kindly forward me a copy of such order or of any other rule or order on which this claim is now made for the first time, & enable me & make a reference to the Government of Mysore on the matter.

I have &c.

"Sd". H. V. Nanjundayya
District Magistrate

"Copy"
H. Nanjundayya
District Magistrate

Encl to 5015

No 3918 of 1898. Judicial Departmen

Kansana District Magistr
Office
Kaewar 11th August 1

Memorandum.

No 2956 of 28th June 1898 Requesting to remit the expenses incurred by the Second class magistrate at Monawar, in surrendering certain prisoners.

Request the favor of an early reply to t office re- ference noted in the margin.

/Signed/ C. C. Boyd
District Magistrate
Kansana

To The District Magistrate
Shimoga

"Copy"

Note Original referred not forthcom

W. Wattamuggett
District Magistrate
Shimoga

1898. 1899

End to 8115

From The District Magistrate
Shemoga.

To The District Magistrate
North Kanara
Karwar.

Dated 17th August 1898.

No. 363/71.

With memo No. 3918 dated the 11th instant.

In reply, has the honour to state that the Sub Division Magistrate of Sagar was, in this office Genl. No. 15 dated 6th ultimo, requested to remit to the 2nd Class Magistrate of Honnavar the expenses incurred in surrendering certain prisoners. On a reference from him thereon was again requested

to

to remit the money without
delay on the 10th. A reminder
has been issued to-day, and
it is believed the amount will
be remitted at once.

sd: H. V. Nanjundayya
District Magistrate

"Copy"

[illegible]
District Magistrate

SECTION Intell
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
FILE No. 709/93 Fresh draft
SERIAL No. 11

Mys.
19/7/07

No. 1179 & 23-7-01.
1118

To Lt. I. H. Asst to the Resident in Mysore

Sir

With reference to your letter No 2817 dated the 6th ultimo, desiring further particulars in connection with a claim by the Durbar for Rs 7-1- on account of the conveyance and maintenance charges of the accused person Hingappa Sowda, handed over to the custody of the Sirsi Police on the 10th Dec 1898, I am directed to state that in his letter No 9/1 dated the 2nd July 99,

Sgnd 19/7/01

the Dt Magistrate of
Shimoga mentions,
a case in which the
Dt Magistrate of North
Kanara demanded
and recovered from
this State two items
of Rs 53-11-6 and Rs 3-15-
being the Decoing and
Conveyance charges of
9 accused persons
who were surrendered to
this State, as being concerned
in the dacoities that
took place in 1898 near
Ulvagal Uralgal in
the Sagar Taluk. The
Total amount of Rs 57-11-
was remitted by the
Subordinate Magistrate of
Sagar to the 2nd Class
Magistrate of Honnavar
and he acknowledged
the receipt of it in his

No 867 dy. 15/12/98
to the Sub Dn Magistrate

With reference to the
Concluding sentence of
para 2 of your letter,
it is found that no
demand has upto
this time been made
by this State direct
to the Magistrates of Bombay
for the payment of
such charges incurred
by the State.

The delay in making
the present demand
is explained to be due
to the hesitation felt
in the Shimoga Dt Magis-
-trates' office to prefer
the claim in ignorance
of the true understanding
of this State with the
Bombay Govt in such
matters.

137/5
20/VII.

I have the
honour
21/7/1901

SECTION Police

FILE No. 20099

SERIAL No. 17

(General Serial No. 30)

OFFICE OF THE
2007 1901
DIWAN OF MYSORE

From K. Venkatappa Chetty Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To
M. V. Nanjundayya Esqre
M.A. M.L.
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Gl & Rev. Depts

Dated 10th August 1901.
Despatched
Received

General No. 1491 } 190 .
No. 11

Enclosures Number. 1 & K.L.

Forwards a bill for Rs 6:13:6, being the amount of expenses incurred in feeding one Krishna who was arrested by the local Police for the offence of theft committed by him in Sirsi Taluk and requests that the amount may be recovered from the District Magistrate of North Kanara

Jml
12.8.01

M.
16.8.01

No. 141/21 in K.L. Shemoga. Dated 10th August 1901.

From. H. S. Puttanna Chetty Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga district

To. H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the Kannada correspondence received with the Sagar Sub Division magistrate's no 58 dated 18/23 July 1901, I have the honour to state that on the requisition of the British Police, one Krishna, a subject of Mysore was arrested on the evening of the 4th May 1901 in the Sagar Taluk, by the local Police, on a charge of having committed the theft of a cart and a pair of bullocks belonging to one Mahabalayya of Bakpura-halli in the Sirsi Taluk

(North Kanara) under whom the accused Krishna was employed as servant. The Police produced the accused before the Amildar Magistrate of Sagar, who remanded him to the Lock-up at Sagar, where he was kept till the 9th June 1901 when, on the receipt of a warrant from the District Magistrate of North Kanara the accused was handed over to the British Police on the 10th June 1901.

2. An expenditure of Rs 4-13-6 has been incurred by this State towards the cost of feeding the prisoner Krishna and as such expenses have to be borne by the British Courts, as laid down in para 3 of Bombay Government order No. 5745-S dated 7th September 1896, I have herein enclosed a bill

bill for the said amount (viz Rs 4-13-6), and request that Government may be pleased to recover the amount from the district magistrate of North Kanara.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

W Nettacourt
Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District

3271
7-9-01 1901-2

SECTION Forest From

FILE No. 1. 0.94

SERIAL No. Y To

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

Pol: 337

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Dated 4. 9. 01

Despatched

Received

General No. 4379

No.

Enclosure No.

Enquiring if there is any objection to the Resident addressing the Bombay Govt re the introduction of a reciprocal arrgt under which each Admr itself meets the charges incurred in the maintenance & conveyance of persons delivered by the other Govt under a warrant

References to be marked.

Pol.
7.9.01
H. Read at Sea
7-9-01.

No. 4379 Ems

Rec. 4/9/1904

From

C. L. S. RUSSELL Esq., I. C. S.
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 4 September 1904.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your Secretary's letter No.1179-J.118 dated the 23rd July 1901, regarding the claim of the Durbar for Rs.7-1-0 on account of the conveyance and maintenance charges of Ningappa Gowda handed over to the custody of the Sirsi Police on the 10th December 1898.

2. The officiating Resident considers that in view of the delay which has occurred in presenting the claim it seems preferable not to press the Government of Bombay for its payment. The opportunity might, however, be taken to address that Government with a view to the introduction of a reciprocal arrangement such as exists between Mysore and Madras under which each administration meets the charges actually incurred by itself. I am to enquire whether the Durbar concur in the proposal.

This is all right enough as it is

...letter No. 2817, dated the 6th June 1901

a list of all cases during the last three years in which applications for the payment of such charges had been made by officers of the Bombay Presidency was asked for, but in your Secretary's letter under acknowledgment, two instances only in which such demands were made to the District Magistrate of Shimoga have been mentioned. I am to ask whether there have not been other similar cases either relating to Shimoga or any other district of the State.

4. The original enclosures of your Secretary's letter No.15928-J 1588 dated the 30th May 1901 are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

L. Russell.

First Assistant Resident.
VS.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 7.9.61

SERIAL No. 1

The First Assistant

to the Resident

No. 5748/363

Date: 21st September 1901.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No-4379 dated the 4th Instant relative to the Bunbais claim for Rs 7-1-0 on account of the conveyance and maintenance charges of Ningappa Gowda handed over to the custody of the Sirsi Police on the 10th December

398 and to state in reply
al 17 for the reasons expressed
para 2, the Dunbar do
ot desire to press the Bombay
vt for the payment of the
rges in question, and that
ey ~~Gladstone~~ Read concur with the
ible the Offg. Resident
his proposal that opportunity
ight be now taken to move
at Government with a
iew to the introduction of
reciprocal arrangement
ch as exists between Mysore
is State and Madras under
hich each administration
rts the charges actually
curred by itself.

the District Magistrates of other Districts (than Shimoga) have been asked to furnish this office with a list of cases referred to in para 3 of your letter under ac-knowledgment, in which the Bombay Govt have demanded & recovered similar claims and that the same will be forwarded to you on receipt.

I have etc

for W/G
Secretary

Despatch order

SM
16-9-01

Here enter letter No. 4379 date

SECTION Police
FILE No. 7-A 99
SERIAL No. 5 L

the 14th September 1901 from the First Assistant to the Resident in Nyasa.

No. 5149 & 21-9-01.
J 364 Knowles

Copy of the above is for-
-warded to the District Magi-
-trate of Shirwa for in-
-formation, with reference to
the correspondence ending with
his letter No. 9/1 dated the 2nd
July 1901.

2. The Bombay Resident
will be addressed with a
view to the introduction of
a reciprocal arrangement with
the Bombay Govt. such as exists
betw

between this State and the Madras Presidency in the matter of ~~incurring~~ feeding & escorting charges of ~~prisons~~ surrendered prisoners. ~~Here~~ Pending further instructions, the cost of feeding & escorting charges of prisoners surrendered to that Presidency may be incurred and paid from the Contingencies of the Courts concerned.

3. The original enclosures received with his letters Nos: 9/1 and 141/11 dated the 2nd July & 10th August 1901 are herewith returned.

B O

Secy

SN
11.9.01

SECTION— Police

FILE No.— 709 99 / 7

SERIAL No.— 21

[Here enter this office cover (P.25)

No 15928 / 1588 dated the 30th May 1901 to the first Assistant to the Resident in Mysore and

Extract of para 3 of letter No. 4379 dated the 4th September 1901 from the first Assistant to the Resident in Mysore]

No 5750-56 / 365 dated 21-9-01.

[~~The foregoing~~ Copies of the foregoing are forwarded to the District Magistrates of Districts (except Shimoga) with the request that they will kindly furnish this office at their earliest possible convenience with

with a list of cases, if any,
in the last three years in
which demands were made
by the ~~Bombay~~ Officers of
the Bombay Government for
the payment of Conveyance
maintenance charges of
prisoners surrendered by them
to this State.

B—0

Secretary

11-9-01

11.9.01

4197 1901

SECTION Police

FILE No. 70 of 99
1

SERIAL No. 12

FROM 28-9-01
H. Ramanuja Iyang.
Offg

To
The Deputy-Commissioner,
of the Mysore District

H. V. Nanjundiah Esq. MA Mic.
The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments

Dated 25-9
Despatched } September 1901.
Received

General No. 282
No.

Enclosure No. -

States that no applications for the payment of charges incurred in feeding and escorting prisoners surrendered to the Mysore State for trial in this District, were made by the Officers of the Bombay Govt: during the past 3 years.

Jmd
2791
Rejd 01.

No. 282

Enclosures No. "

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated Mysore, 25th September 1901.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire, M A M L.
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir,

Referring to your official Memo No. 5150-51 J 365 of the 21st instant, I have the honor to state that no applications for the payment of charges incurred in feeding and escorting prisoners surrendered to the Mysore State, for trial in this District, were made by the Officers of the Bombay Government during the past 3 years.

A reference to the half yearly Extradition statements shows that no person was surrendered by the Bombay Government, for trial in this District during the said period.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

0849

4199

(General Serial No. 30.)

W. 28-9-'01

1901.

SECTION Police

FILE No. W.N.G.

SERIAL No. 1

From H. H. Sparkes Esquire
District Magistrate
of Madura

To H. Nanjundaiyya Esq M.A. M.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysor
General and Revenue Dep

Dated 26th

Despatched 27th September

Received

General No. 285 } 1901/02
No.

Enclosures Number.

With reference to his mem
No 5150-56/3365 dated the 21st Septem
er 1901, has the honor to sta
that no prisoners were su
rendered to this District by
the officers of the Bombay
Government during the last
3 years from 1898-99 to
1900-01, and consequently
there was no occasion for
any demand to being mad
by them for payment of
any charges.

H. H. Sparkes
District Magistrate
of Madura

Jml
28.9.01
K.T. 29/9/01

J453

1901 - 1902

4274 189

Jud

M. The refe queries is pertaining to 30 4193 & 1885 of 1902 Sofgor was given to you on 26/9/01 of 7468

Sofgor.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 76 C 99 / 1901

SERIAL No. 11

FROM 30-IX-'01.

A. Alwaraj Ucl Esquire
District Magistrate
Hassan District

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.A. Mk.
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore, Genl & Rev: Depts.

Dated 26th September 1901

Despatched 28th

Received

General No. 180.

No. S.

Enclosure No. nil

Referring to his memo
no. 5450-56 / J365 of the 21st
instant, I have the honor to
state that for the last 3 years
there were no cases in which
demands were made by
the officers of the Bombay
Government for the pay-
ment of conveyance and
maintenance charges of
prisoners surrendered
by them to this District
nor any prisoners Ucl
surrendered by them
during the period.

A Alwaraj

for District Magistrate
H. V. Hassan District.

2495.

OFFICE OF THE
4682
7-X-01
1901
GOVT. OF BOMBAY

(General Serial No. 30)

Ind
2.000
H/
Sloot

SECTION Police

FILE No. 20099
1

SERIAL No. 2

From M. Narasimhaiyer
District Magistrate
of Chitaldrug

To V. Ranganayya Esq
M.A.M.L.
Secretary to the
Government of
Imperial General
and Revenue
Departments

Dated 30th September 1901.
Despatched 5th October 1901.
Received

General No. 293.
No.

1901-1902

Enclosures Number. Nil.

This Official memo
No. 5-150-5-6 dated
2 365
21st September 1901,
forwarding copy of
correspondence ending
with letter No. 437 dated
4th inst, from the
Assistant to the Resident
in Mysore and requesting
to furnish with a list
of cases if any, for the
last 3 years in which
demands were made by
the Officers of the Bombay

Bombay Presidency for the
payment of the conveyance and
maintenance charges of persons
surrendered by them to the State.

In reply, has the honor
to state that, there were
no instances in this District
during the last 3 years in
which the Officers of the
Bombay Government
demanded payment of
conveyance and other
charges on account of
prisoners surrendered
by them to the State.

Mr Nacain Rao
District Magistrate
of Chitaldrug

(General Serial No. 30.)

4742

1901/2 8.X.01

From The District Magistrate
Nilgiris District.

SECTION Political

FILE NO. 704/99

SERIAL NO. 21

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Genl and Rev. Department
Bangalore

Dated 5th

Despatched 6 October 1901

Received

General No. 348 } 1901/2
No.

Enclosures Number. —

Secty's no 5150-56 dated 21st September 1901
V 365

Stating that there was no case of a prisoner surrendered by the Bombay Government to this District during the last 3 years.

Secd
8.10.01

8.10.01

No. 348

District Magistrate's Office
Tumkur District
Tumkur. 5th October 1901.

From. B. G Krishnaiengar Esqre
District Magistrate,
Tumkur District.

To.
H. V Nanjundayyar Esqre M. A. M. L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your no. 5150-56/P 365 dated 21st September 1901, calling for a list of cases, if any, for the last 3 years in which demands were made by the Officers of the Bombay Government for the payment of Conveyance and maintenance Charges of prisoners surrendered by them to this State, I have the honor to state that, there was no case of a prisoner surrendered by the Bombay Government to this District during the last three years.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate

1306.

47414
8.X.01.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1901 OF MY.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 70997

SER'AL No. 1

From

H. E. C. Carr Esquire
District Magistrate
Kolar

To -

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq B.A., M.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Gen: and Rev: Depts.

Dated 5th October 1901

Despatched 7th

Received

General No. 575 } 190 .
No. Camp

Enclosures Number.

Reporting that there were no cases of demands, for the last 3 years, in which demand were made by the Officers of the Bombay Government for the payment of charges of prisons surrendered to this State.

Read
8.10.01.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Champion Reefs, Dated
5th October 1901.

From

J. P. C. Carr Esquire
District Magistrate
Kolar

To.

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqre M. A., M. L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. 5150-56 J. 365 of the 21st September 1901, requesting to furnish with a list of cases, if any, for the last three years in which demands were made by the Officers of the Bombay Government for the payment of conveyance and maintenance charges of prisoners surrendered by them to this State, I have the honor to state that there were no such cases of demand for payment of charges in this District.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
J. Carr
District Magistrate.

5090
16.X.01 1901 | Gov

From The District Magistrate
Bangalore District

SECTION Police
FILE No. 1099 To
SER'AL No. 2

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Rev & Rule Dept

Dated 11th
Despatched 11th } October 1901
Received
General No.
D No. 414.
Enclosure No.

Secretary's memo No. 515
of 36
of the 21st ultimo.
States that there were —
instances of cases in which
demands were made by the
Officers of the Bombay Govt
for the payment of Conveyan
and maintenance charges of
prisoners surrendered by
them to this State during the
last 3 years.

Brooke
Received
17/10/01

Dis. 454.

District Magistrate's office
Bangalore District.
Bangalore 11th October 1901.

From

M. S. Narayan Rao Esq
District Magistrate
Bangalore District

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr M.A.L.L.
Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Rev: and Genl. Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your memo
No. $\frac{5150-56}{J.365}$ dated 21st ultimo, calling for
list of cases for the last 3 years in which
demands were made by the officers of the
Bombay Government for the payment of
conveyance and maintenance charges of
prisoners surrendered by them to this State,
I have the
honor to state that there were no instances
of this kind in this District during the last
3 years.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

M. S. Narayan Rao

District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

SECTION Pol. Draft submitted for

FILE No. 2999

SERIAL No. 26

10.8.01

No. 7405 / 1623 8-11-01.

The 1st Assistant

the Resident in Mysore

Sir,

In continuation o

para 2 of my letter no 514/93

dated the 21st September

1901, I am directed to sta

that the District magistr

of other Districts (than

Shimoga) report that th

were no cases in their Di

-tricts during the past 3 yea

in which the officers of

the Bombay Govt demand

-ed payment of conveyan

and other charges on

account of prisoners

surrendered by them to

submitted for
approval of the
Dewan

4/11/1901

2317
5-XI-01

Issued
4/11

the State for trial.

I have seen.

31/10/01

Seen.

25/10/01.

2299

4/11/01.

To Mr. Branch
Early
18/11/01

H.V.N.

THE RESIDENT MYSORE

SECTION Police BANGALORE.

FILE No. 20999/4

SERIAL No. 30

18th November 1901.

Dear Sir,

Will you kindly let me have copies of

Chief Commissioner of Mysore's Notification No.8761-J.12 dated the 21st January 1879.	the marginally
Letter No.2072 dated 23rd August 1880 from the Government of Madras to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore.	noted papers which are quoted

in paragraph 3 of your letter No.18928/J.1588 dated the 30th May 1901.

Yours truly,

L. Russell

Subd
Copies of Enclosures sent
19.11.01

H.V. Nanjundayya Esquire,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Genl. & Rev. Departments.

SECT. . . Bangalore

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

FILE No. 19 of 1901

CORR'AL No. 71

Dear Sir,

As desired in your note of the 18th inst I enclose Copies of the Chief Commissioner's Notfn N° 8761-912 dated the 12th January 1879 and letter N° 2072 dated the 23rd August 1880 from the Govt of Madras to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore.

Yours sincerely

H. Wood

19/11

To L. L. Russell Esq I.C.S.
First Assistant Resident
in Mysore.

8299 1901-2

From 494-1-02

SECTION Police

FILE No. 700 94

SER'AL No. 2

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE

TO

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Dated 30.12.01

Despatched

Received

General No. 6438

No.

~~Enclosure No.~~

Forwarding copy of a letter from the Bombay Govt on the subject of the procedure for the recovery of maintenance and Conveyance charges of accused persons extradited from the Bombay Residency to Mysore & Vice Versa —

References to be marked.

Submitted with Office note

Confirmed

No. 6438 Gm

FROM

C. L. S. RUSSELL, Esq., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 30 Dec 190 1.

SIR,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your office letter No. 7405-G 623 dated the 8th November 1901 on the subject of the procedure for the recovery of maintenance and conveyance charges of accused persons extradited from the Bombay Presidency to the Mysore State and vice ver I am directed to forward, for the information of the Durbar, copy of a letter No. 8758 dated 18th November 1901 received from the Government of Bomba on the subject.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servan

L. Russell

First Assistant Resident.

CK.

Enc 1881/31

Copy of a letter No. 8758 dated the 18th December 1901 from the Acting Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore

---

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 5938, dated the 29th November 1901, relative to the procedure for recovering the maintenance and conveyance charges of accused persons extradited from the District of North Canara to the Mysore State and vice versa, and in reply to state that the Governor in Council regrets that he cannot accept your proposal to amend the procedure by making these charges debitable to the side which complies with the demand for surrender, as it would be contrary to the general rule observed in this Presidency.

"Copy"

H. S. Tirumalai Ayyangar

Registrar.

CK

Police

FILE NO. 7099

SERIAL NO. 11

The First Asstt
to the Resident in Mysore
No 13539 / J.1144 dt 17/2/02
Encl. 1 + over

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter no. 6438 dt the 30th Dec. 1901, forwarding copy of a letter from the Govt of Bombay on the subject of the procedure for the recovery of maintenance and conveyance charges of accused persons enlarged from the Bombay Presidency to the Mysore State and vice versa.

2. ~~In view of the fact~~ As the Bombay Govt do not consent to the proposal to make the charges in question debitable to the one which complies with the demand for surrender, the Darbar will of course be entitled to ~~be exempt for~~ recover all charges incurred by the Mysore State in respect of

prisoners surrendered to
Hospitals in Bombay districts.

3. In one such case,
the Darbar waived their
right to recover the charges
incurred by them in deference to the
advice of the then
offg Resident Mr. Crawford.
(Vide para 2 of your letter
No. 4379 dy the 4th Sept. 1901,
and para 1 of my reply thereto
No. 5148 dy the 21st Sept. 1901).
f. 363

4. I am now to
forward the ~~seven~~
marginally noted records
connected
with another
case in
which charges,
amounting to Rs 4-13-6, have
been incurred in connection
with the maintenance of a
prisoner named Krishnaji
surrendered by the State
to the British Police in June
1901; and I am to request
the favour of the Bombay
Govt being addressed
with

Copy of Sheriff's Magte's letter No. 141 dy the 10th August 1901; & its enclosures in original.

SC Nos 33 & 34.

* SC No. 37. (Enclosures of this have been rec'd with the Dt Magte's letter No. 691/30 dy 28.1.02).

a Writ to the said chap
being received and
95
remitted to the Darbar.

I have the

Hon'ble

F. 2-02.

Sec.

SUBJECT Police
FILE No. 709/99
SERIAL No. 2/1

Read again

(1) His office
of the 30th May 1901 & the
Asst Sec'y to the Resident
in Mysore.
(In cipher)

(2) Correspondence
ending with the foll'g letter
from the F. A. to the Resdt
in Mysore, No 4379 of
4th Sept'r 1901.
(In letter cipher)

(3) His office letter No 574
of 21st Sept'r 1901 to the F.A.
in cipher & translation

(4) His office letter No 740
of 5th Oct'r 1901 & the same
Officer stating that there were
no cases in any District other
than Bangalore, during the last
5 years in which the Officers
of the Bombay Police demanded
payment of conveyance and
other charges on account of persons
surrendered by them to the Mysore
State for trial.

Read also
the foll'g letter No 6436

y 30 Dec. 1901 D.O. No.
to Govt. & its enclosure
A.T. (Box in extenso)

Madras. No. 13544-53 / J 1147. 17.2.02.

The foregoing Correspondence is communicated to all District Magistrates under the Board's Proceedings dated [illegible] as to the Bombay Government is willing to make the feeding and escorting charges of prisoners debitable to the ~~Govt.~~ State which complies with the demand for surrender, all such charges incurred by the Mysore State in respect of prisoners surrendered to Magistrates in Bombay Districts will be recoverable from the Bombay Govt.

2. The procedure between the Mysore

and

I think this had better be omitted, HWS 4.2.02

Madras Govt bill 90/1 course remain altered.

3. An application will be made to the Bombay Government for the recovery of the charges incurred by Captain Chrimes in the case referred to in Chrimes letter No 141 of the 12th August 1902

HWS 4.2.02

To All the Magistrates in the Presidency.

The Comptroller.

READ AGAIN—

(1) This office letter No. 15928—J. 1588, dated the 30th May 1901, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

I am directed to state that one Ningappagauda, who was arrested by the Sirsi Police in Bankasara village of the Sorab Taluk on the 2nd November 1898, and remanded to the Sorab Taluk Lock-up by order of the Amildar-Magistrate, was on the 10th December 1898 handed over to the custody of the Sirsi Police on receipt of a warrant from the District Magistrate of North Kanara.

2. The District Magistrate of Shimoga in forwarding a bill for Rs. 7-1-0, received from the Sagar Sub-Division Officer, on account of charges incurred in feeding and escorting the said accused from the date of his arrest till he was surrendered to the Sirsi Police, requests that the amount of the bill in question may be recovered from the District Magistrate of North Kanara in the same manner as the Magistrates in the Bombay Presidency recover from this State similar charges incurred by them in connection with prisoners surrendered to this State. The provision for such recoveries made by the Bombay authorities, is stated to be contained in the Order of the Bombay Government No. 5455, Political Department, dated the 7th September 1896, a copy which is appended for reference.

3. As between the Mysore and Madras Governments, the procedure in such cases is regulated by para 2 of the Mysore Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 8761—J. 12, dated the 21st January 1879, and the Madras Government's letter to the Chief Commissioner No. 2072, dated the 23rd August 1880, (copies enclosed) according to which all feeding and escorting charges are borne by the State complying with the demand for surrender. There has been no such mutual arrangement with the Bombay Government; and as these charges are usually claimed and recovered by Magistrates in the Bombay Presidency whenever accused persons are surrendered by them to Native States, I am to say that the Durbar consider it only fair that unless an arrangement similar to what is in practice with the Madras Government, and which commends itself as being both fair and convenient, can be effected, the Bombay Government should, on its part, bear the cost of feeding and escort, incurred in respect of prisoners surrendered by this State to Magistrates in Bombay Districts. The Durbar would accordingly feel obliged by your kindly moving the Honorable the Resident to address the District Magistrate of North Kanara with a view to the amount of the bill * being recovered and remitted to the District Magistrate of Shimoga.

* Herewith forwarded in original.

(2) Correspondence ending with the following letter from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your Secretary's letter No. 1179—J. 118, dated the 23rd July 1901, regarding the claim of the Durbar for Rs. 7-1-0 on account of the conveyance and maintenance charges of Ningappagauda handed over to the custody of the Sirsi Police on the 10th December 1898.

2. The Officiating Resident considers that in view of the delay which has occurred in presenting the claim, it seems preferable not to press the Government of Bombay for its payment. The opportunity might, however, be taken to address that Government with a view to the introduction of a reciprocal arrangement, such as exists between Mysore and Madras, under which each administration meets the charges actually incurred by itself. I am to enquire whether the Durbar concur in the proposal.

3. In my letter No. 2817, dated the 6th June 1901, a list of all cases during the last three years in which applications for the payment of such charges had been made by officers of the Bombay Presidency, was asked for, but in your Secretary's letter under acknowledgment, two instances only, in which such demands were made to the District Magistrate of Shimoga, have been mentioned. I am to ask whether there have not been other similar cases, either relating to Shimoga or any other district of the State.

4. The original enclosures of your Secretary's letter No. 15928—J. 1588, dated the 30th May 1901, are herewith returned.

(3) This office letter No. 5148—J. 363, dated 21st September 1901, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 4379, dated the 4th instant, relative to the Durbar's claim for Rs. 7-1-0 on account of the conveyance and maintenance charges of Ningappagauda, handed over to the custody of the Sirsi Police on the 10th December 1898, and to state in reply that for the reasons expressed in para 2, the Durbar do not desire to press the Bombay Government for the payment of the charges in question, and that they readily concur with the Honorable the Officiating Resident in his proposal that opportunity might be now taken to move that Government with a view to the introduction of a reciprocal arrangement, such as exists between this State and Madras, under which each

Judicial, dated 17th February 1902.

(4) This office letter No. 7405—J. 6231, dated the 8th November 1901, to the same officer, stating that there were no cases in any district, other than Shimoga, during the past three years, in which the officers of the Bombay Government demanded payment of conveyance and other charges on account of prisoners surrendered by them to the Mysore State for trial.

READ AGAIN—

The following letter No. 6438, dated 30th December 1901, from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore and its enclosure.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your office letter No. 7405—J. 623, dated the 8th November 1901, on the subject of the procedure for the recovery of maintenance and conveyance charges of accused persons extradited from the Bombay Presidency to the Mysore State and *vice versa*, I am directed to forward, for the information of the Durbar, copy of a letter No. 8758, dated 18th November 1901, received from the Government of Bombay on the subject.

Enclosure.

Copy of a letter No. 8758, dated the 18th December 1901, from the Acting Chief Secretary to the Government of Bombay, to the Honorable the Resident in Mysore.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 5938, dated the 29th November 1901, relative to the procedure for recovering the maintenance and conveyance charges of accused persons extradited from the District of North Canara to the Mysore State and *vice versa*, and in reply to state that the Governor-in-Council regrets that he cannot accept your proposal to amend procedure by making these charges debitable to the side which complies with the demand for surrender, as it would be contrary to the general rule observed in this Presidency.

No. 13544-53—J. 1147, DATED BANGALORE, 17TH FEBRUARY 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—The foregoing correspondence is communicated to all District Magistrates, with the remark that as the Bombay Government is unwilling to make the feeding and escorting charges of prisoners, debitable to the State which complies with the demand for surrender, all such charges incurred by the Mysore State in respect of prisoners surrendered to Magistrates in Bombay districts, will be recoverable from the Bombay Government.

2. The procedure as between the Mysore and Madras Governments will, of course, remain unaltered.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—All District Magistrates in Mysore.
The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd.—C.V.

1901-1902

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 17th February 1902

No. 13544-53 / J. 1147

Communicating to District Magistrates, etc., the correspondence *in re* the recovery from the Bombay Government of feeding and escorting charges incurred by the Mysore State in respect of prisoners surrendered to Magistrates in Bombay.

S.B.P.—26-2-02—200.

OFFICE OF THE 12578 RESIDENT

SECTION Police

FILE No. - 279

SER'AL No. - 6

J1493 1901-2

From

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE

Pol: 1492.

To

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Dated 22nd March 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1647

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file.

Regarding the adjustment of the expenditure of Rs. 4-13-6 incurred by the Durbar in connection with the surrender to the N. Kanara Police of a prisoner named Krishna in June 1901, and requesting if the Durbar have no objection, arrangements may be made for the settlement of that & all similar accounts direct with the Distt Magts: in the Bombay Presdy: Concerned.

References to be marked.

Pol.

Note Continued 2 Feb

[illegible]

No. 1647

FROM

C. L. S. RUSSELL, Esq., I. C. S.,

FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 22 March 1902.

SIR,

With reference to your Secretary's letter No.13538/J.1144 dated the 17th February 1902, regarding the adjustment of the expenditure of Rs.4-13-6 incurred by the Mysore State in connection with the surrender to the North Kanara Police of a prisoner named Krishna in June 1901, I am directed, at the instance of the Government of Bombay, to request that, if the Darbar have no objection, arrangements may be made for the settlement of this and all similar accounts direct with the District Magistrates in the Bombay Presidency concerned.

2. The original enclosures of your Secretary's letter are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

L. Russell.

SECTION Police

FILE No. 20995

SERIAL No. 21

Draft Submitted
for approval to
the Dewan -

3/4

H. V. M.

H. V. M.

To

The First Assistant
to the Resident

No. 16446 / 1433 dated 7/4/02

Sir

With reference to
your letter No. 164,
dated 22nd March
1902 enquiring
whether the Dunbar
has any objection to
arrangements being
made for the
adjustment of the
expenditure of Rs. 4.13.
incurred by the
Dunbar in connection
with the amended

to the South Canara
Police of a prisoner
named Krishna in
June 1901 and all
similar accounts
direct with the
District Magistrates
in the Bombay Presidency
concerned I am
directed to state that
the Durbar have
no objection to such
an arrangement ~~as~~
it will be highly
convenient and that
the Bombay Government
may be requested to
issue the needful
instructions to the
District Magistrates of
the Bombay Presidency

Lee 14/4

Pri
16/4

13373.
1 A
1901-2 J/598

SECTION Police

FILE No. 7,0191 From 4

SER'AL No. 27

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE

To

PoC 1611

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Dated 12th April 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No. 2060

No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that, as the matters connected with the extradition of persons to & from Native States are dealt with by the Local authorities in the Bombay Presidy: without reference to the Bombay Govt, the Resident does not consider it necessary to address that Govt: as desired in this office letter No. 16446/J. 14 dated 7th April 1902.

No. 2660

FROM

C. L. S. RUSSELL Esq., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 12 April 1902.

SIR,

With reference to your Secretary's le
No. 16446/J 1433, dated the 7th April 1902, I
am directed to state that, as the matters conne
with the extradition of persons to and fr
Native States are dealt with by the Loca
authorities in the Bombay Presidency, wi
reference to the Bombay Government, t
Resident does not consider it necessar
to address that Government, as desired
in the letter cited above.

2. Should any District Magistrate i
thi

Bombay Presidency object to settle the
claim for payment of the expenditure
incurred in connection with the surrender
of accused persons from the Mysore State,
the Government of Bombay will then
be asked to issue the needful instructions
to the District Magistrate of that Presi-
dency.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

First Assistant Resident.

vs.

SECTION Political | Jr & appl

FILE No. 70999

SER'AL No. 38

No

The District Magistrate
of the Shimoga Dt

Dated 7th January 02

No 17814
1607

Sir,

Referring to your letter No 141 dated 10th August 1901, submitting a bill for Rs 4-13-6 being the cost of feeding a prisoner named Krishna who was arrested in Sagar Taluk for a theft committed in the Sirsi Taluk and surrendered to the North Kanara Police, to be recovered from the Dt Magistrate of North Kanara, I have the honour to request that the bill in question may be sent direct to the District Magistrate of North Kanara and the amount

thereof recovered from him.

2. In continuation of the orders communicated in Govt Progs: No 13574-53 J1147 dated 17th February 1902, I am to state that in future all claims arising out of the surrender of prisoners to and by the District Magistrates of the Bombay Presidency may be settled direct with the District Magistrates concerned. If any Dt Magistrate in the Bombay Presidency should object to settle the claim for payment of the expenditure incurred in connection with the surrender of accused persons, this should be reported to the Govt so that the necessary steps may be taken for the recovery of the amount.

3. The vernacular records received with your docket No 691 J87 28/1/02 are herewith returned. have also been

17824-30 of
J1608 / for Secretary

Copies to the Dt Magistrate of the

the I.G. of Police & the Comptroller, Mysore Govt. for information and guidance.

By order

for Secretary

21/5/0

OFFICE OF THE
13826
30 APR. 2
1663
190
CRR

SECTION Police

FILE No. 20099

SER'AL No. 26

FROM The District Magistrate
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore Rev: & Genl: Depts.

Dated
Despatched } 28/29 April 1902.
Received

General No.
29 No. 1186.

Enclosure No. 2

Requesting to move the Bombay Government to remit the balance Rs 24-3- due to this office out of a sum of Rs: 30-13-9 which was advanced to meet the charges, &c of taking the prisoner under escort to Poona.

Govt
S.O. 412

Subd with a Note

Bangalore District

District Magistrate's office

Dated Bangalore 28th April 1902

From.

C. S. Varagenatha Rao Esquire

District Magistrate

Bangalore

To

H. V. Vanjindaraja Esquire M.A. Bar.-at-Law

Secretary to the Government

of Mysore

General and Revenue Department

Sir,

I have the honor to relate that, one Maruthi Vishnu Kolaskar of Poona, appeared before me and asked me for the issue of a warrant to arrest one Ramadhu Shunar Swamy who had cheated him to the amount of Rupees 400/- and odd. Accordingly a warrant was issued, and the man was arrested and remanded to the Central Jail, pending the receipt of a warrant therefor from the City Magistrate of Poona, before whom a complaint had been lodged in the matter. After the receipt of a warrant from the said Magistrate, the accused was sent to Poona under the escort of the City Police Bangalore, and a sum of Rs. 0-13-9 was advanced to meet the charges etc., of

Yours &c.

to King. The prisoner to Poona. A letter as per
The City Magistrate of Poona to permit the prisoner
advanced by this office was also sent.

2 The City Magistrate has however permitted a
sum of rupees 6 10 9, being the bare travelling
incurred on account of the prisoner and has
declined to permit the balance, on the ground
that the escorting charges should be met from
the State from which the prisoner is sent (Vide
copy of this docket no 382 dated 20th February 1902.

3. As the question of meeting escorting charges
&c, of prisoners surrendered to the Bombay
Presidency from the Native States has since
been finally settled by government in their Proceedings
No. 13,524-4-53 / 1167 dated 17-22 February 1902. I have the
honor to request that you will be good enough
to move the Bombay government to remit the
balance, Viz, rupees 24-3-0 still due to this office

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[illegible]

District Magistrate
Bangalore Dist.

Bund F 1439

No 382 of 1901

From

N. F. Carvalho Esqre
City Magistrate
Poona

To,

The District Magistrate
Bangalore

Poona 20th February 1902

Sir,

In compliance with your letter No 662 dated 23rd November 1901, I have the honor to forward herewith, Remittance Treasury Receipt for Rs 6. 10. 9, on account of Railway and feeding charges incurred by the Bangalore Police in sending from Bangalore a Prisoner to Poona, and to request the favour of your acknowledging the receipt of the same. The Treasury Officer, Poona states that the bill on account of Railway charges for the Police escort amounting to Rs 24 3 0, cannot be paid from this Treasury. It is understood that it is recoverable from the Bangalore Treasury.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Sd) N. F. Carvalho
City Magistrate
Poona

C. /as

Transmitted
Tribute Magistrate
Bangalore District

SECTION Jud. D.C. Draft for appl[ication] for order.

FILE No. 10999

SERIAL No. 1/11

do

The District Magistrate
Bangalore

Dated 7th May 02

No 17823
J. 1609.

His letter No D. 1186 dated 28th April 1902, requesting that the Bombay Govt may be addressed with a view to the recovery of a sum of Rs 24-3-0 being the balance due to his office out of a sum of Rs 80-13-9 advanced to meet the charges for escort of prisoner named Ramadurg Kumara - Sirsi to Poona.

According to the above, has the hono

to request that the
District Magistrate
will be good enough
to submit a bill
showing the details
of the expenditure
incurred ~~[illegible]~~, ~~[illegible]~~ Nagetta,
with the connected records,
at an early date.

By order

for Secretary
2/5/02

SECTION Police

FILE No. 7099

SERIAL No. 1/1

OFFICE 550. 15 JUL 1902 DEPT. J. 62. 1902/03

FROM The District Magistrate Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Revenue Secretariat Dept

Dated 12-7-1902

Despatched 14th July 1902

Received

General No.

J No.

Enclosure No. One and Vouchers

Secy's docket No. 17823 01 J 1609

The 7th May 1902.

Submits a bill for Rs 30-12 being expenditure incurred for escorting a prisoner named Ramaiah

Jml 15.7.02

Recd 16/7/02. Note sub 16/7/02

DNo 47

Bangalore District

Dist. Magistrate's Office

Bangalore 12 July 1902.

From

H. H. Sparke Esquire

Dist. Magistrate

Bangalore District

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esqr

Offg Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Genl & Rev. Depts.

Sir,

Adverting to your No 17823 of 7th May 1902 requesting the submission of a bill for the expenditure incurred for escorting a prisoner by name Ramadurga Kamarayan to Poona, I have the honor to state that Rs. 30. 13. 9 advanced to the City Police, Bangalore, was spent for escorting the said prisoner from Bangalore to Poona and for his diet charges amounting to 10 annas for 2 1/2 days as detailed in the enclosed bill, received from the Superintendent of Police Bangalore District and countersigned by me.

2. Out of the said amount Rs. 30. 13. 9, a sum of Rs. 6. 10. 9 was remitted by the City Magistrate, Poona and Rs 24. 3. 0 is still due from that court.

3. The entire record connected with the case is herewith submitted.

4. The amount advanced in this case is Rs 30. 13. 9 and not Rs. 80. 13. 9, as stated in the letter referred to above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,
[signature]
Dist. Magistrate.

SECTION Police

FILE NO. 709/99

SER'AL NO. No. 1.7

The Asst Secretary
to the Resident in
Mysore

Bd. 25/7/02
No 1584 & 2.
1140

Sir,

I am directed to
state that one Maruthi
Vishnu Kalaskar of
Poona having applied to
~~appeared~~ ~~before~~ the District
Magistrate of Bangalore
and ~~applied~~ for ~~and~~
~~obtained~~ the issue of a warrant for
the arrest of one Ramdas
Kumaraswamy who
had cheated him to the
amount of Rs 400 and
add, the latter was accord-
-ingly arrested and
remanded to the Central
Jail pending the receipt
of

of a warrant from the
City Magistrate of Poona
before whom a complaint
had been lodged by in the
matter. After the
receipt of a warrant
from the said Magistrate
the accused was sent
to Poona under the
escort of the City
Police, Bangalore. The
~~A~~ expenditure of
Rs 30-13-9 was incurred
on account of the
Railway fares of the
Police escort and the
prisoner and the feeding
charges of the prisoner (vide
vide bill enclosed), ~~The~~
~~District Magistrate~~
~~reports~~ but had the City
Magistrate of Poona
~~A~~ whom he ~~requested~~ addressed the
~~to remit the amount~~
~~Rs. Rs 30-13-9, refused~~
~~to do so~~, has remitted
only a sum of Rs 6-10-9
being

being the Railway fare
and feeding charges of the
prisoner, and has declined
to remit the balance
~~of~~ Rs 24-3-0, being
the Railway fare of
the ~~&~~ Police escort ~~of~~
~~from~~ from Bangalore
to Poona and back
vide your demi-official letter enclosing
2. I am therefore to
~~request~~ request, ~~the favour~~
~~of your moving the Govt~~
~~the President in Council~~,
~~to address~~ the Govt
of Bombay, in ~~favour~~ to
the remittance of the
~~charges~~ balance of
Rs 24-3-0 referred to
above, ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~

I have etc

As suggested in para 2 of your letter No 2060 of the 12th April 1902, that-

Secretary

PR 207

SECTION Police

FILE No. P.R. 99

SER'AL No. 105

From

THE FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE
HON'BLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE

To

THE DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Dated 5. 8. 02

Despatched

Received

General No. 4366
No.

Enclosure No.

Regarding the charges c
carried in escorting a
accused person name
Ramdurg Kumaraswa
from Bangalore to Poon

J. F. No 17 of 1899-190
on the subject mentio

21/8/02

References to be marked.

Subd with the note Court

21/8/02

No. 4344 Jud

From

C. L. S. RUSSELL Esq., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 5 August 1902.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt o letter, No.1584, J.140, dated the 25th July 1902 from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenu Departments, regarding the charges incurred in escorting an accused person named Ramdurg Kumarasawmy from Bangalore to Poona.

5536
20.5.02
5/8 Lehmann
Ans. 14. VIII 02

2. In reply, I am to invite a reference to paragraph 2 of Mr. Dobbs' letter, No.3386, dated the 14th July 1900, and to enquire why the procedure therein indicated for escorting such accused persons has not been followed in this case.

3. The Bombay Government, Political Department, resolution, No.5455, dated the 7th September 1896 (a copy of which has been received with your office letter, No.15928/

J.1588, dated the 30th May 1901) provides only for the payment of charges for the conveyance and maintenance of extradited persons, and if the procedure indicated in the letter quoted in the 2nd paragraph of this letter had been followed in the present case, no charge on account of police escort would have been incurred by the Darbar.

4. The bill received with the letter under acknowledgment is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

L Russell -

First Assistant Resident.

SECTION Jud. Br

FILE No. 709/99

SERIAL No. 144 To 1

The District Magistrate
Bangalore D

2963

No. 6277

Date. 30/8/02.

His letter No. 47 dated 7
12th July 1902, submitting a b
for Rs 30-13-9 being the expen
-ture incurred for escorting
prisoner named Ramalinga
Kumarasami
from Bangalore to Poona

With reference to the ab
has the honour to invite th
District Magistrate's attention
the office Memorandum No. 9
dated the 21st June 1900 to the

Magte. Shimoga, issuing instruc-
tions as regards the procedure
to be observed by Magistrates in
the State in cases of warrants
issued by Magistrates in British
India for the arrest of an accused
person in the State, and to
request to be informed why the
procedure therein indicated has
not been followed in this case.

2. It is observed that if that
procedure had been followed,
no charge on account of police
escort would have been in-
curred.

3. The bill & the original
endorsed to his letter under
reference are herewith returned.

B/O.

Secy/Under]

for Secy
28/9/02

SECTION Police

FILE No. 70999

SERIAL No. 45

FROM The District Magistrate
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Revenue Department

Dated 26th Sept 1902

Despatched 27th Sept 1902

Received

General No.

D No. 314

Enclosure No. one copy, one bill and 4 Orders.

Secy's docket No. 2960/277 of the 30th August 1902.

States that the circumstances under which the procedure laid down was not followed in regard to a warrant issued by the Dt Magistrate of Poona for the arrest of Ramdurga Krishnaji Rao in Bangalore can not be explained and requests that the sum of Rs 30-13-9 may be recovered

2999

No 314

One bill, one copy
received

Bangalore District
District Magistrate's Office
Dated 26th September 1902.

From -

H. A - Sparkes Esqr
District Magistrate
Bangalore Dt.

To -

The H. V. Ranjmudaiyar Esqr M.A.M.L.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts
Bangalore -

Sir,

In reply to your docket No
768/277 of the 30th ultimo, enquiring
why the procedure indicated
in Govt memo No 938/J.101 of the
5th July 1900, was not followed
in the reference to a warrant
issued by the District Magistrate
Poona for the arrest of Ranga-
nga Kumaraswami in Bangalore,
I have the honor to forward
herewith

herewith copy of docket No 1980 of the
17th Instant, from the Superintendent
of Police, Bangalore District, from
which it will be seen that the
prisoner was escorted by the city
Police to Poona, as per orders of
the then District Magistrate - As
the said order seems to have been
recorded on the warrant itself
which has been returned, and all the
then Judicial Munshis of this Office
have since left this office by transfer
the circumstances under which
the procedure above referred to
was not followed, cannot now
be explained -

As, however, the more recent
Proceedings of Govt No 13544 - 53 of
the 17th February last, admits
of the escorting charge in question
to be debited to the Bombay Govt
I would request that the sum
of Rs 30 - 13 - 9 may be recovered
to enable the recoupement of
the advance made from this Office -

I have

bill and other enclosures of your docket under reply are returned -

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H. H. Parker

District Magistrate

Bangalore District -

1902/1903.

Encl to 8645.

From -

C. Hamilton Esqire
Superintendent of Police
Bangalore -

To - H. A. Sparkes Esqre
District Magistrate
Bangalore -

Dated
Despatched } 17. 9. 1902.
Received
No. 198 -

His docket No. 390 dated 12th Sept 1902 forwarding copy of docket No. 29[illegible] J. 2, of the 30th ultimo, regarding the escort by Police to Poona of one Ramadu Kumaraswami by the Bangalore city Police.

With reference to the above, has the honor to state that, Ramadur Kumaraswami was arrested in Bangal city, as per warrant dated 18. 9. 19[illegible] received through the City Inspector from the Poona city, Magistrate that

that he was produced before the
District Magistrate Bangalore (Mr
M. S. Narayana Rao) who ordered
in writing on the warrant that
he be escorted to Poona by the city
Police and advanced Rs 39 for
the purpose. There are no records
in the Office pertaining to this
matter.

(Signed) C. Hamlin
Superintendent of Police
Bangalore.

"True copy"

Illegible
District Magistrate
Bangalore D[illegible]

SECTION Police

FILE No. 709/99 - 4

SERIAL No. 46

Serial No 69.

Correspondence regarding with letter No. 314 dated 26th September 1902, from the District Magistrate, Bangalore, on the subject of the recovery from the Bombay Government of the charges incurred for escorting a prisoner named Ramadurg Kumarasami from Bangalore to Poona.

No 8374-82 / 673 dt 14.11.02

Order thereon.

From the correspondence read above, it appears that the District Magistrate of Bangalore forwarded to the City Magistrate of Poona a bill for Rs 30-13-9 being the

and escorting a prisoner named
Ramadurg Kumarasami from
Sangalore to Poona in accord-
ance with a warrant issued
by the latter ~~Magistrate~~ and
that that officer remitted a
sum of Rs 6-10-9 only being
the travelling and feeding ex-
penses of the prisoner and de-
clined to pay the balance, viz
the charges incurred by the Police
Constables who escorted the prisoner,
on the ground that such charges
should be met by the State
in which the prisoner is sent.
The District Magistrate therefore
requests that the Bombay Govt
may be moved to pay the said charges
from the strength of Govt Proceedings
No. 13544-53 dated 17th February
1147

Govt. however observe that
though the order quoted by
the District Magistrate provide
for the recovery of ~~escorting~~ conveyance charg
from the Bombay Government
it is not in itself an authorit
for escorting the prisoner in
question right up to Poona.
The place up to which such
prisoners are to be escorted
when called upon by British
Magistrates is ~~governed~~ governed by
Official Memorandum No.
938/J101 × dated 21st July 1900 issued
to the District Magistrate of
Shimoga and communicated
to other District Magistrates
by Endorsement No. 939-45/J102
of the same date: If the

* This may be replaced by the word "conveyance" charges, for reasons mentioned in my note of 4-10-41. HG

roceedings therein indicated had been
llowed in the present instance,
o charge on account of Police Escort
ould have been incurred by the
istrict Magistrate.

3. In these circumstances, Govt
eem it inadvisable to press the
ombay Government to pay the
harges in question and they
ccordingly direct that the said
harges be paid out of the contingencies
. the H. Majesty's Office and that the
ecedure indicated in respect of the
ender of prisoners when warrants
e issued for their arrest by British
agistrates, laid down in the order
: the 21st July 1900, referred to above, be strictly
llowed in future.

Secretary
10/11

Medical Officers
Warrant when sent
- present the
out - not Warrant
when would
Remand
more
would